# Constraints Faced by Farmers of Narsing Kheda Village of Sihore District

## Abdul Rasheed Khan<sup>1</sup>, M.K. Dubey<sup>2</sup>, P.K. Bisen<sup>3</sup> and K.K. Saxena<sup>4</sup>

1. Student M. Sc. (Ag.), **2.** Assoc. Professor (Ext. Edu.) **3.** Prof. (Eco.) 4. Professor & Head (Ext.Edu.), COA, JNKVV, Jabalpur, (M.P.)

#### **ABSTRACT**

The technological improvement changed the traditional system and pattern of agriculture but farmer still facing lots of constraints and problems in every step of agriculture production which affects the pace of socioeconomic development of farmer and his sustainabizzzlity and livelihood. The present study was conducted on 50 farmers of Narsing Kheda village of Sihore district with the objective to know the major constraints. Severe constraints like unavailability of electricity, higher input cost, limited source of information and unavailability of insecticides pesticides and fertilizers increase the cost of cultivation and check their income. The socio-personal attributes like age, land holding and economic motivation had positive and significant correlation, while education, scientific orientation and risk preference had negative and significant correlation. **Key words:** Technological improvement; Traditional system; Sustainability; Livelihood

Agriculture is the main occupation of Indian population. Around 70 per cent of the population engaged directly or indirectly in this field and generate 22 per cent of national Gross Domestic Product. The technological improvement changed the traditional system and pattern of agriculture. Now better technologies, improved farm machineries, accountable varieties of all the important crops, flexible credit facilities and specialist advices are available for Indian farmer that creates the tremendous increment in food grain production and export potential. Instead of these entire achievements farmer still facing a lots of constraints and problems in every step of agriculture production which affects the pace of socioeconomic development of farmer and his sustainability and livelihood. Farmer invests all the possible resources as much as possible but unable to generate the production in optimum ratio due to these constraints. Narsing Kheda village occupied with fertile and productive land and good marketing facilities are also available but farmers are still facing many constraints which affecting their production and income level. This study was conducted to carry out the constraints and problems faced by the farmers in agriculture.

#### **METHODOLOGY**

The study was conducted in Narsing Kheda village of Sihore district which falls under Ichhawar. The major crops of the area are soyabean (JS-335), wheat (Sujata-306, Lok-1), sugarcane and barseem. The major source

of irrigation is Bada Bandhan dam. The study was conducted on 50 farmers of the village to know the major constraints faced by the farmers of the area. The data were collected with the help of pre-structured interview schedule. The statistical tools like percentage, rank order & correlation coefficient were applied to draw inference from the study.

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

It inferred from the above table that higher percentage of respondents (44%) belonged from middle age group followed by old age group (40%) and young age group (16%). 46 per cents respondents were educated up to middle standard, 28 per cent up to Primary and only 6 per cent were educated up to high school & above level. 46 per cents of them came into medium land holding category. The main occupation of the majority (64%) was the combination of agriculture and milk production followed by only agriculture (26%). The majority of respondents (64%) came into medium income category while rest were divided into low (20%) and high income group (16%). 46 per cents of the total respondents showed low level of extension participation followed by medium (26%) and high (18%). 46 per cents of the total showed lower use of communication sources while 34 per cent showed medium and 20 per cent showed high use of communication sources. In case of economic motivation 40 per cent of the total had high level of economic motivation, 36 had medium and 24 per

cent had low level of economic motivation. Majority of the respondents (60%) showed low level of scientific orientation while 24 per cent showed medium and only 16 per cent showed high scientific orientation. Majority of the respondents (62%) had high risk preference attitude followed by medium (20%) and low (18%).

Table 1. Socio-personal-economic profile of respondents

1. Socio-personal-economi	c profile of re	espondents	
Particulars	f	%	
Age			
Young (up to 30 yrs.)	80	16	
	22	44	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	20	40	
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	10	20	
		28	
•		46	
•		6	
	03	U	
	00	18	
		24	
	29	58	
_	-	8	
		34	
	23	46	
Big	06	12	
Occupation			
Agriculture	13	26	
Agri.+ Milk Production	32	64	
Agri. + Labour	05	10	
Income			
Low	10	20	
Medium	32	64	
		16	
•			
•	28	56	
		26	
		18	
•		10	
		46	
		34	
_	10	20	
Low	12	24	
Medium	18	36	
High	20	40	
Scientific Orientation			
Low	30	60	
Medium	12	24	
High	8	16	
Risk Preference			
Low	9	18	
		20	
		62	
9	01	02	
	Particulars Age Young (up to 30 yrs.) Middle (31 – 50 yrs.) Old (above 50 yrs.) Education Illiterate Up to Primary Up to Middle High and Above Farm Power Low Medium High Land Holding Marginal Small Medium Big Occupation Agriculture Agri.+ Milk Production Agri. + Labour Income Low Medium High Extension Participation Low Medium High Use of Communication So Low Medium High Economic Motivation Low Medium High Scientific Orientation Low Medium High Scientific Orientation Low Medium High Risk Preference	Age         Young (up to 30 yrs.)       08         Middle (31 – 50 yrs.)       22         Old (above 50 yrs.)       20         Education       10         Illiterate       10         Up to Primary       14         Up to Middle       23         High and Above       03         Farm Power       12         Low       09         Medium       12         High       29         Land Holding       29         Marginal       04         Small       17         Medium       23         Big       06         Occupation       32         Agriculture       13         Agriculture       13         Agri. + Labour       05         Income       10         Low       10         Medium       32         High       08         Extension Participation         Low       28         Medium       13         High       09         Use of Communication Sources         Low       23         Medium       17         Hig	

Table 2. Constraints and problems faced by farmers:-

S.	Constraints	Frequer	ncy of inte	nsity	Rank
No. 1.	& Problems faced Limited Knowledge	L 10	M 11	S 29	VI
	about Recommend				
	package of practices				
2.	Un Availability of Inputs				
(a)	Seeds of Improved	12	25	13	VIII
	Varieties				
(b)	Fertilizer	7	12	31	V
(c)	Insecticides and	8	10	32	IV
	Pesticides				
(d)	Labour	36	8	6	Χ
3.	Insect & Disease	30	9	11	IX
	Infestation				
4.	Un availability of	-	-	50	I
	Electricity for irrigation				
5.	Limited sources of Information	4	6	40	Ш
6.	Higher Input cost especially diesel	2	4	44	II
	for irrigation pump				
7.	Lower marketing	16	20	14	VII
	of produce				
L-Low M-Moderate S-Severe					

L=Low, M=Moderate, S=Severe

Table 3. Relationship of socio-personal attributes of respondents and intensity of constraints faced

S.N.	Attributes	Correlation Coefficient (r)		
1.	Age	0.31*		
2.	Education	-0.29*		
3.	Farm Power	-0.19 ns		
4.	Land Holding	0.29*		
5.	Occupation	-0.19 ns		
6.	Income	-0.26 ns		
7.	<b>Extension Participation</b>	-0.23 ns		
8.	Use of Communication S	ources -0.18 ns		
9.	<b>Economic Motivation</b>	0.27*		
10.	Scientific Orientation	-0.33*		
11.	Risk Preference	-0.29*		

<sup>\*</sup>Significant at 5% level of significance

The above Table shows the intensity of the constraints and problems of the farmers. It inferred from the data that unavailability of electricity for irrigation was the most severe problem of the study area. There was regular power cut every year in Rabi season especially.

Farmers have to irrigate their fields with the help of diesel pump set which increase their cost of cultivation. The second most severe problem was higher input cost which also caused due to unavailability of electricity. Limited source of information, unavailability of insecticides, pesticides and fertilizers and limited knowledge about recommended package of practice were also reported as major constraints in severe manner. The higher frequency lies in lower intensity category in case of unavailability of labour, insect, disease infestation and marketing of produces. That means the farmers were not suffered a lot from these problems. Unavailability of seeds of improved varieties and lower marketing of produces were reported in the moderate intensity by the higher percentage of respondents. Arirwar et. al. (2005), Ramsubramainum & Manoharan (2002) and Gowda & Lakshminarayan (2000) have also carried out similar type of constraints of their study area.

The date shows that the socio-personal attributes like age, land holding and economic motivation had positive and significant correlation with intensity of constraints faced so that it may be concluded that the farmers of older age, big land holding and higher economic motivation categories suffered from these constraints in more severe intensity. The negative but significant correlation was observed in case of attributes like

Education, Scientific orientation and Risk preference, it means that the inverse effect was produced by these attributes. As they move towards higher category the intensity of constraints come down towards lower side respectively. The attributes like occupation, income, extension participation, use of communication sources and farm power showed non significant correlation with intensity of constraints faced. The similar correlation was observed by Arirwar et. al. (2005) and Gowda & Lakshminarayan (2000) in their studies.

#### CONCLUSION

The Narsing Kheda village is very progressive and rich in agriculture production. The farmers of village are quite innovative and committed towards higher agriculture production but severe constraints like unavailability of electricity, higher input cost, limited source of information and unavailability of insecticide pesticide and fertilizers increase the cost of cultivation and check their income also. The State Electricity Department, State Agriculture Department and other extension agencies should bring their attention towards these problems. The land of village is fertile and productive if all the resources will make available and constraints will be minimized then the production and income of farmers could be increased.

#### **REFERENCES**

- 1. Ahirwar R.F., Saxena K.K. and Meshram V. (2005). Profitability and constraints of soyabean in Malwaplateau of M.P. *Ind. Res. J. Ext. Edu.* **6**: (1&2).
- 2. Gowda G. and Lakshminarayn (2000). Problems faced by groundnut farmers in adoption of dryland farming practices. *J. Ext. Edu.* **11** (4): 2977-2978.
- 3. Ramasubramainum & Manoharan (2002). Constraints analysis and identification for mango farmers. *J. Ext. Edu.* **13** (4): 3422-3426.
- 4. Sadangi B. and Singh R.P. (1994). Constraints in occupational diversification. *IJEE*. **XXX** (1-4): 37-39.