A Study on Performance of Seed Societies for Empowerment of Members

D.K. Singh¹, S.B.Agrawal², Alka singh³, Neelu Vishwakarma⁴ and Deepmala Singh⁵

1&4. KVK, Jabalpur, 2. Dept. of Agronomy, CoA, JNKVV, Jabalpur, 3. KVK, Sidhi, 5. Res. Scholar, RDVV, Jabalpur Corresponding author e-mail: dineshkumar3280@gmail.com

Paper Received on October 30, 2018, Accepted on December 01, 2018 and Published Online on December 15, 2018

ABSTRACT

The Seed Societies follows the approach of forming a community based farmer group. The Seed Societies group produces quality seed of paddy, wheat and chickpea variety that best suits to the locality. Training plays an important role for changing in behaviour by up gradation of knowledge, skill and ability of society members. The improved technical and management farming activities will be supportive for quality seed production, and empowerment of society members. The various seed societies formulated by the farmers for fulfilment of seeds requirements of the district. Out of 66 societies of seven blocks only six societies were selected from 6 blocks and 15 respondents were selected in each seed society for the purpose of study. It is concluded that seed societies were producing sufficient quantities of seed for different crops and made available to the farmers. The societies members were also empower with the enhancing knowledge and skills in the field of seed production techniques, marketing and organizing the member of societies.

Key words: Knowledge; Production level; Empowerment; Seed society;

Seed Societies is an approach to empower farmer community in order to provide quality seed for farmers, local seed markets, open avenues for people to start seed businesses, and offer farmers a 'basket' of crop varieties to choose from. Farmers and Farm women from disadvantaged groups are needs to be encouraged to participate in Seed Societies. It requires knowledge up gradation, small infrastructure, equipment, technical backstopping, and coordination with other agencies (Souvik et al, 2011). In the changing global scenario, to make agricultural society knowledge intensive and knowledge vibrant one, the appropriate communication strategy can play a pivotal role (Hanglem et al, 2014).

The Seed Societies follows the approach of forming a community based farmer group comprising 11-50 members and register under society act. The Seed Societies group produces quality seed of paddy, wheat and chickpea variety that best suits to the locality. The Seed Societies activities are being implemented in collaboration with government, non-government and private organizations as implementing partners. Seed

Societies program is conducted through trained farmers groups including women and disadvantaged groups. These groups are trained on seed production pertaining to field inspection and seed certification, post-harvest management of seed including proper storage and packaging (Sanchita et al, 2013). Society based seed production has been successfully implemented for ensuring seed self-sufficiency and increasing seed production (Jha, 2011). The Societies provide the benefit of economies of scale, cost effective alternative for different financial services, collective learning, democratic and participatory culture, a firm base and platform for dialogue and cooperation (Thakur and Barman, 2015).

Training plays an important role for changing in behaviour by up gradation of knowledge, skill and ability of society members. To improved agricultural practices, use of traditional knowledge, skill and experience as well as research based techniques will be helpful for successful seed production work (*Singh et al, 2011*). Management of problems arises during the farming like;

disease pest occurrence, soil water management and management of need based treatments.

Quality seed is very important thing for increasing the production. It is necessary to maintain the varietal characteristics of seed and management of seed borne diseases for the production of high quality seed. The improved technical and management farming activities will be supportive for quality seed production, and raising livelihood & empowerment of society members (*Rohit et al, 2012*).

METHODOLOGY

The study related to seed societies performance in relation to empowered farmers group were conducted during 2016 to 2018. The various seed societies formulated by the farmers for fulfilment of seeds requirements of the district. A total of 66 seed societies registered under society act at Jabalpur. There are 7 blocks namely Sihora, Patan, Majholi, Shahpura, Panagar, Kundam and Jabalpur. Out of 66 societies of seven blocks only six societies were selected from 6 blocks and 15 respondents were selected in each seed society for the purpose of study. Hence 90 respondents were selected by random sampling methods. The data were collected through pre structured interview schedule.

RESULTS AND DISCUSION

Table 1 presents the distribution of the seven independent variables of socio economic profile of seed societies members ie age, education, land holding, annual income, market linkage, decision making and seed replacement ratio in present study. The study revealed that the members belongs to young age group 43.33 % followed by middle 36.67%. In respect of education, 36.67% society members were higher secondary followed by 20% graduate, 17.78% middle school, 16.67% post graduate and above while 8.88% of primary level education.

In regard to land holding, 48.89% possess 2.1 to 4 ha land belongs to Middle land holding group and 31.11% having large farmers group having more than 4.00 ha land while small and marginal farmers having up to 2.00 ha area covers the 20.00%. The annual income of 55.56% farmers were in middle income group ie. Rs.50,000 to 1,00,000 while lowest income group (50,000) having 16 members (17.78%).

Table 1. Socio-economic profile of society members (N=90)

Categories No. % Age Young 39 43.33 Middle 33 36.67 Old 18 20.00 Education Primary 08 8.88 Middle 16 17.78 Higher Sec. 33 36.67 Graduate 18 20.00 Post graduate and above 15 16.67 Land holding Small & Marginal farmers(<2.0 ha) 18 20.00 Middle farmers(2.1 to 4.0 ha) 44 48.89 11.1 Large farmers(>4.0ha) 28 31.11 Annual Income Low income(>Rs.50,000) 16 17.78 Middle income 50 55.56 (Rs.50,001 to 1,00,000) 24 26.67 Amrket linkage Low 26 28.88 Medium 42 46.67 High 22 24.44 Decision making Low 19 21.11 Medium 43 47.78 High 28 <th>(11-70)</th> <th></th> <th></th>	(11-70)		
Young 39 43.33 Middle 33 36.67 Old 18 20.00 Education 8 8.88 Primary 08 8.88 Middle 16 17.78 Higher Sec. 33 36.67 Graduate 18 20.00 Post graduate and above 15 16.67 Land holding 18 20.00 Middle farmers(2.1 to 4.0 ha) 44 48.89 Large farmers(>4.0ha) 28 31.11 Annual Income 20 55.56 (Rs.50,001 to 1,00,000) 16 17.78 Middle income 50 55.56 (Rs.50,001 to 1,00,000) 24 26.67 Market linkage 2 Low 26 28.88 Medium 42 46.67 High 22 24.44 Decision making 2 24.44 Low 19 21.11 Medium 43 47.78 High 28 31.11 <t< th=""><th>Categories</th><th>No.</th><th>%</th></t<>	Categories	No.	%
Middle 33 36.67 Old 18 20.00 Education 20.00 Primary 08 8.88 Middle 16 17.78 Higher Sec. 33 36.67 Graduate 18 20.00 Post graduate and above 15 16.67 Land holding 18 20.00 Middle farmers(2.1 to 4.0 ha) 44 48.89 Large farmers(>4.0ha) 28 31.11 Annual Income 20 55.56 Rs.50,001 to 1,00,000) 16 17.78 Middle income 50 55.56 (Rs.50,001 to 1,00,000) 24 26.67 Market linkage 2 24.44 Low 26 28.88 Medium 42 46.67 High 22 24.44 Decision making 2 24.24 Low 19 21.11 Medium 43 47.78 High 28 31.11 Seed replacement ratio Low <	Age		
Old 18 20.00 Education 08 8.88 Primary 08 8.88 Middle 16 17.78 Higher Sec. 33 36.67 Graduate 18 20.00 Post graduate and above 15 16.67 Land holding 18 20.00 Middle Marginal farmers(<2.0 ha)	Young	39	43.33
Education Primary 08 8.88 Middle 16 17.78 Higher Sec. 33 36.67 Graduate 18 20.00 Post graduate and above 15 16.67 Land holding 18 20.00 Small & Marginal farmers(<2.0 ha)	Middle	33	36.67
Primary 08 8.88 Middle 16 17.78 Higher Sec. 33 36.67 Graduate 18 20.00 Post graduate and above 15 16.67 Land holding 18 20.00 Middle Marginal farmers(<2.0 ha)	Old	18	20.00
Middle 16 17.78 Higher Sec. 33 36.67 Graduate 18 20.00 Post graduate and above 15 16.67 Land holding Small & Marginal farmers(<2.0 ha)	Education		
Higher Sec. 33 36.67 Graduate 18 20.00 Post graduate and above 15 16.67 Land holding Small & Marginal farmers(<2.0 ha)	Primary	08	8.88
Graduate 18 20.00 Post graduate and above 15 16.67 Land holding Small & Marginal farmers(<2.0 ha) 18 20.00 Middle farmers(2.1 to 4.0 ha) 44 48.89 Large farmers(>4.0 ha) 28 31.11 Annual Income Low income(>Rs.50,000) 16 17.78 Middle income 50 55.56 (Rs.50,001 to 1,00,000) High income(<1,00,000) 24 26.67 Market linkage Low 26 28.88 Medium 42 46.67 High 22 24.44 Decision making Low 19 21.11 Medium 43 47.78 High 28 31.11 Seed replacement ratio Low 27 30.00 Medium 30 33.33	Middle	16	17.78
Post graduate and above	Higher Sec.	33	36.67
Land holding Small & Marginal farmers(<2.0 ha)	Graduate	18	20.00
Small & Marginal farmers(<2.0 ha)	Post graduate and above	15	16.67
Middle farmers(2.1 to 4.0 ha) 44 48.89 Large farmers(>4.0ha) 28 31.11 Annual Income 17.78 Low income(>Rs.50,000) 16 17.78 Middle income 50 55.56 (Rs.50,001 to 1,00,000) 24 26.67 Market linkage 26 28.88 Medium 42 46.67 High 22 24.44 Decision making 24 25 Low 19 21.11 Medium 43 47.78 High 28 31.11 Seed replacement ratio 27 30.00 Medium 30 33.33	Land holding		
Large farmers(>4.0ha) 28 31.11 Annual Income 17.78 Low income(>Rs.50,000) 16 17.78 Middle income 50 55.56 (Rs.50,001 to 1,00,000) 24 26.67 Market linkage 26 28.88 Medium 42 46.67 High 22 24.44 Decision making 20 21.11 Medium 43 47.78 High 28 31.11 Seed replacement ratio 27 30.00 Medium 30 33.33	Small & Marginal farmers(<2.0 ha)	18	20.00
Annual Income Low income(>Rs.50,000) 16 17.78 Middle income 50 55.56 (Rs.50,001 to 1,00,000) 24 26.67 Market linkage 26 28.88 Medium 42 46.67 High 22 24.44 Decision making 21.11 Medium 43 47.78 High 28 31.11 Seed replacement ratio Low 27 30.00 Medium 30 33.33	Middle farmers(2.1 to 4.0 ha)	44	48.89
Low income(>Rs.50,000) 16 17.78 Middle income 50 55.56 (Rs.50,001 to 1,00,000) 24 26.67 Market linkage 26 28.88 Low 26 28.88 Medium 42 46.67 High 22 24.44 Decision making 2 21.11 Medium 43 47.78 High 28 31.11 Seed replacement ratio 27 30.00 Medium 30 33.33	Large farmers(>4.0ha)	28	31.11
Middle income 50 55.56 (Rs.50,001 to 1,00,000) 24 26.67 Market linkage 26 28.88 Medium 42 46.67 High 22 24.44 Decision making 20 21.11 Medium 43 47.78 High 28 31.11 Seed replacement ratio 27 30.00 Medium 30 33.33	Annual Income		
(Rs.50,001 to 1,00,000) High income(<1,00,000) 24 26.67 Market linkage Low 26 28.88 Medium 42 46.67 High 22 24.44 Decision making Low 19 21.11 Medium 43 47.78 High 28 31.11 Seed replacement ratio Low 27 30.00 Medium 30 33.33	Lowincome(>Rs.50,000)	16	17.78
High income(<1,00,000)	Middle income	50	55.56
Market linkage 26 28.88 Low 26 28.88 Medium 42 46.67 High 22 24.44 Decision making 20 21.11 Low 19 21.11 Medium 43 47.78 High 28 31.11 Seed replacement ratio 27 30.00 Medium 30 33.33	(Rs.50,001 to 1,00,000)		
Low 26 28.88 Medium 42 46.67 High 22 24.44 Decision making 22 24.44 Low 19 21.11 Medium 43 47.78 High 28 31.11 Seed replacement ratio Low 27 30.00 Medium 30 33.33	High income(<1,00,000)	24	26.67
Medium 42 46.67 High 22 24.44 Decision making 19 21.11 Low 19 21.11 Medium 43 47.78 High 28 31.11 Seed replacement ratio Low 27 30.00 Medium 30 33.33	Market linkage		
High 22 24.44 Decision making 19 21.11 Low 19 21.11 Medium 43 47.78 High 28 31.11 Seed replacement ratio Low 27 30.00 Medium 30 33.33	Low	26	28.88
Decision making Low 19 21.11 Medium 43 47.78 High 28 31.11 Seed replacement ratio 27 30.00 Medium 30 33.33	Medium	42	46.67
Low 19 21.11 Medium 43 47.78 High 28 31.11 Seed replacement ratio Low 27 30.00 Medium 30 33.33	High	22	24.44
Medium 43 47.78 High 28 31.11 Seed replacement ratio 27 30.00 Low 27 30.00 Medium 30 33.33	Decision making		
High 28 31.11 Seed replacement ratio 27 30.00 Medium 30 33.33	Low	19	21.11
Seed replacement ratio Low 27 30.00 Medium 30 33.33	Medium	43	47.78
Low 27 30.00 Medium 30 33.33	High	28	31.11
Medium 30 33.33	Seed replacement ratio		
	Low	27	30.00
High 33 36.67	Medium	30	33.33
	High	33	36.67

The majority of member having the medium market linkages ie. 42 members (46.67%), low linkage group 26 members (28.88%), and 22 members (24.44%) having the high level of market linkages. As regards to the decision making of society members it was observed that the majority 47.78% belongs to medium group of decision making while 28 number (31.11%) having high level. The seed replacement rate were belongs to high member group ie. 36.67%, However, the 30 members (33.33%) belongs to medium group.

The above data inferred that the members of seed societies belongs to medium group of education, land holdings, annual income, market linkage and decision

making. While Age and seed replacement ratio is high. Hence it is suggested that the members requires to increase the coordination of market linkages, decision making process and increase seed replacement ratio in the welfare of Society members.

Table 2. Knowledge level of paddy seed producer (N= 90)

Statements	High	Medium	Low
	No. %	No. %	No. %
Soil health management	22 24.44	38 42.22	30 33.34
Nursery management	26 28.87	42 46.67	22 24.44
Transplanting methods	23 25.55	49 54.44	18 20.00
INM	19 21.11	38 42.22	33 36.67
Irrigation management	28 31.11	48 53.33	14 15.55
Weed control methods	32 35.56	37 41.11	21 23.33
Rouging technique	27 30.00	47 52.22	16 17.78
IPM	13 14.44	48 53.33	29 32.22
IDM	16 17.78	33 36.67	41 45.55
PHT	23 25.56	38 42.22	29 32.22

Table 2 presents the knowledge level of paddy seed producers and found higher level knowledge in respect to weed control (35.56%) but medium knowledge of 54.44% in methods of transplanting while integrated disease management recorded low level of knowledge which was 45.55%. Further, the high level of knowledge (30.00%) medium level of 53.33% and low level of knowledge 36.67% were recorded for roughing technique, irrigation management as well as nutrient management, respectively.

Table 3. Knowledge level of Chickpea producer (N=90)

Statements	High	Medium	Low
	No. %	No. %	No. %
Soil health management	26 28.89	40 44.44	24 26.67
Seed treatments	31 34.44	43 47.78	16 17.78
Seed sowing methods	36 40.00	40 44.44	14 15.56
INM	43 47.78	34 37.78	13 14.44
Irrigation management	52 57.78	29 32.22	9 10.00
Weed control methods	15 16.67	24 15.56	51 56.67
Rouging technique	23 25.56	49 54.44	18 20.00
IPM	18 20.00	38 42.22	34 37.78
IDM	21 23.33	43 47.78	26 28.89
PHT	28 31.11	26 28.89	36 40.00

Table 3 presents similarly the chickpea producer had the different level of knowledge. The chickpea producer had the high level of knowledge with respect to irrigation management 57.78%, medium level 54.44% farmers in rouging while 56.67% farmers had the low

level of knowledge with respect to weed control in chickpea.

Table 4. Knowledge level of wheat seed producer (N=90)

Statements	High	Medium	Low
	No. %	No. %	No. %
Soil health management	27 30.00	44 48.89	19 21.11
Seed treatments	21 23.33	36 40.00	33 36.67
Seed sowing methods	44 48.89	35 38.89	11 12.22
INM	18 20.00	41 45.56	31 34.44
Irrigation management	26 28.89	55 61.11	9 10.00
Weed control methods	16 17.78	62 68.89	12 13.33
Rouging technique	33 36.67	33 36.67	24 26.67
IPM	24 26.67	29 32.22	37 41.11
IDM	19 21.11	29 32.22	42 46.67
PHT	28 31.11	26 28.89	36 40.00

Table 4 presents that the highest level of knowledge in seed sowing having 48.89% farmers closely followed by 36.67% in roughing techniques. Whereas, the 68.89% closely followed by 61.11% farmers having medium level of knowledge in weed control and irrigation management. On the other hand 41.11% and 36.67% farmers having low level of knowledge with respect to integrated pest management and seed treatment, respectively.

It is evident from the data presented in Table 5 that seed production of paddy proved beneficial over grain production as seed gave an additional return of Rs. 43420/ha. Hence, society

Table 5 presents that the chickpea seed and grain production programme was taken by society members simultaneously at the same season and found that seed production of chickpea found to be the more beneficial as compared to grain production. Seed production programme fetched net returns of Rs.135250/ha with an additional returns of Rs. 50450/ha. Whereas grain production gave only Rs. 84800/ ha.

Table 5 presents that the seed production of wheat in rabi season was taken up by member of seed societies. The computation of economics shows final seed production programme is economically more beneficial and fetched an additional return of Rs.22950/ha on the other hand grain production per hectors gave Rs. 39050/ha as compared to 62000/ha from seed.

The various constraints one in the seed production societies which were faced by member are presented in Table 6. Data recorded in survey it was observed

Cost of production Net Adl Crops Seed Grain Gross return Net Return production income production (Rs./ha (Rs./ha) (Rs./ha) Seed (q/ha)(q /ha) Grain Grain Seed Grain (Rs./ha) Seed Paddy 38.7 45.5 29500 23250 66430 86600 43420 116100 43180 Chickpea 15.5 18.0 27500 23200 162750 108000 135250 84800 50450 Wheat 41.0 24500 34.0 31500 93500 63550 62000 39050 22950

Table 5. Seed production performance of differnt crops (N=90)

Table 6. Common constraints faced in seed production societies (N=90)

Statements Ran	nking
Improper seed sampling	IX
Expensive storage	Ш
Delay in payment of subsidies	VIII
Process of certification is lengthy and time consuming	IV
Availability of facilities for for seed procuring	Π
Expensive Transportation	V
Improper monitoring and guidance	VI
Improper supply of desired seeds variety	VII
Lack of coordination amongst the member of the societie	es I

that in most of the societies were suffering due to improper coordination among the members of societies, Where as second problem was faced by society nonavailability of seed processing facilities which is an important step in seed production. On the other hand, storage facilities are mostly in stress parting to seed storage.

CONCLUSION

On the basis of three years studies with respect to performance of seed societies for socio economics empowerment of members, it is concluded that seed societies were producing sufficient quantities of seed for different crops and made available to the farmers. The societies members were also empower with the enhancing knowledge, in the field of seed production techniques marketing and organizing the member of societies. Thus, the presently these societies proved to be the boon for farming communities with respect to socio economic empowerment

REFERENCES

Garai, Sanchita; Mazumder, G. and Maiti, Sanjit (2013). Group dynamics effectiveness among Self Helf Groups in West Bengal. *Indian Res. J. Ext. Edu.*, **13**(1): 68-71.

Hanglem, A; Saravanan, R. and Pradhan, K. (2014). Assessment and analysis of awareness level of communication sources among the farming community of Manipur. *Indian Res. J. Ext. Edu.*, **14**(3):: 35-38.

Jha, P.N. (2011) Self-helf group and farmer participatory approach for accelerating the pace of transfer and technology application and for promoting agri-prenereship. Indian society of extension education. Soveniour National seminar on innovative extension approaches for enhancing rural household income, Sep. 27-29: 19.

Rohit, J; Singh, B.K.; Kumbhare, N.V. and Dubey, S.K. (2012) Production dynamics and factors affecting peri - urban agriculture. *Indian J. Ext. Edu.*, **48**(1&2): 17-20.

Singh, D.K.; Agrawal, S.B.; Sharma, D.P.; Singh, D. and Pandey, S.K. 2011. Enhancing income of farmers using group approaches. *Indian J. Ext. Edu.*, **47**(3&4): 61-64.

Souvik, Ghosh; Prabhakar, Nanda and Ashwani, Kumar (2011) Farmation of group dynamics effectiveness index and steps to mobilize user group for participatory water management. *Indian J. Ext. Edu.*, **47**(1&2): 1-7.

Thakur, A. and Barman, U. (2015). Determining predictors influencing entrepreneurial behaviour of women Self Help Group members. *Indian Res. J. Ext. Edu.*, **15**(3): 52-55.

• • • • •

^{*} Paddy sale rate of seed: 3000/q, Sale rate of grain: 1460/q; *Chickpea sale rate of seed: 10,500/q, Sale rate of grain: 6,000/q; *Wheat sale rate of seed: 2750/q, Sale rate of grain: 1550/q