# **Participation of Rural Women in Dairy Farming in Karnataka**

# Prakash Kumar Rathod<sup>1</sup>, T.R. Nikam<sup>2</sup>, Sariput Landge<sup>3</sup>, Vajreshwari S<sup>4</sup> and Amit Hatey<sup>5</sup>

1. Ph.D Scholar, Division of Ext. Edu., IVRI, Izatnagar (U.P),
2, 3, 4 & 5. Asstt. Prof., Department of Vet. & A. H. Ext.Edu., Mumbai Veterinary College, Parel, Mumbai.

\*Corresponding author e-mail:prakashkumarkr@gmail.com\*

#### **ABSTRACT**

A survey based study was carried out to ascertain the role and participation of rural women in dairy farming, using a pretested interview schedule by personal interview for sample size of 120 rural women in Mudhol block of Bagalkot district, Karnataka (India). The socio-personal study revealed that majority of the women were middle aged (55%) in joint families (65%) with 53.33 per cent being literates. Most of the respondent families were marginal farmers (33.33 %) with low annual family income (60.83 %) having agriculture (52.5 %) as the major occupation. About 30 operations of dairy farming were selected in consultation with experts and were broadly categorized into six aspects as feeding, management, breeding, health care, processing & marketing and miscellaneous. The study revealed that women participation was maximum in caring of pregnant animals (91.66 %) followed by taking animals for pregnancy diagnosis (90.83 %). The study revealed that 90 per cent women involved in milking while 89.16 per cent women cared for newborn or young animals. The farmwomen actively involved in cleaning of animal sheds (89.16 %), feeding the animals (87%) and disposal of cow dung (86.66 %). The farm women participation was least in farm record maintenance (52.5%) and getting loans or credits from the banks (49.16 %). The study concluded that women participated mostly in non-financial activities and there is a need to educate farm women about scientific management practices for increasing livestock production.

Key Words: Socio-personal profile; Participation; Rural Women; Dairy Farming;

The contribution of women to national development in the current context and its potential is of greater significance. Involvement of Indian women in national progress at all levels is undisputable reality although the degree of involvement varies from time to time and region to region. The prosperity and growth of a nation depends on the status and development of its women as they not only constitute nearly half of the population, but also positively influence the growth of remaining half of the population. The crucial role of women in agriculture, allied occupations and household activities has however been grossly underestimated and undervalued. Women play significant and crucial role in agricultural development and allied fields like dairy farming, mushroom production, pisciculture etc. Dairy farming is major occupation of rural women in Karnataka. The present investigation was designed to study the role and extent of involvement of rural women.

#### **METHODOLOGY**

The present investigation was designed to study the role and participation of rural women in dairy farming. Purposive sampling technique was used for selecting Mudhol block of Bagalkot District on the basis of increased rate of prospective dairying through Karnataka Milk Federation. The secondary sources revealed that milk procurement has increased from 4518 litres per day in 2004-05 to 9773 litres per day during 2008-09 in this region. The study was conducted during July to October months of 2009. A pretested semi structured interview schedule was used to collect the data by personal interview method. Multistage random sampling was applied for selecting fifteen respondents from each village with the help of veterinary officers, key informants and village level functionaries, thus making a total of 120 rural women for the final study.

Necessary information were also collected from

secondary sources like departmental documents, dairy societies and the milk collection centers located in the selected villages. Following the completion of the data collection, the collected data were coded, tabulated, classified and further categorized for systematic statistical analysis. The descriptive statistical tools like frequency, average and percentage were used for analysis of data. The results were interpreted accordingly.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The study revealed that 55 per cent of rural women were middle aged in the study region followed by young (35%) and old age (10%) category. The probable reason for such distribution might be that, majority of the middle aged rural women perceived dairy farming as a profitable avenue and took up as a subsidiary occupation. The study revealed that 53.33 per cent of respondents were literates while 46.67 per cent of the women were illiterates. Regarding the type of family, majority of farm women lived in joint family (65%) while 35 per cent lived in nuclear family. About the occupation, the study found that agriculture (52.50%) was the major occupation of the family followed by laborers (28.33%). The remaining farm women included home makers (15%) and government job holders (04.17%). The study reported that 82.5 per cent of respondents were married while 9.16 per cent rural women were unmarried. The present study exhibited that 60.83 per cent of the families had low income followed by medium income category (35.83 %) and high income group (03.34 %). The study revealed that 33.33 per cent farm women families had marginal land followed by small farmers (28.34 %).It was also observed that 20.83 per cent farm women were landless and 18 per cent were large farmers. With regard to dairy herd size, 79.16 per cent families were in medium category while 12.5 per cent families had smaller livestock holding. The study reported that 71.66 per cent rural women had low level social participation while 20.84 had medium level social participation.

The distribution of respondents according to their personal, social and economic traits included in the study is presented in Table 1.

Role and participation of rural women in dairy farming: The study focused mainly on six major operations of dairy farming. The findings of study are depicted in Table 2.

Table 1. Socio-personal profile of rural women N=120

S. No         Characters         No.         %           1.         Age         16-29 years (Young)         42         35.0           29-42 years (Middle)         66         55.0         42-55 years (Old)         12         10.0           2.         Education         12         10.0         10.0         12         10.0           2.         Education         66         55.0         46.67         33.3         33.3         33.3         33.3         33.3         33.3         33.3         34.0         65.0         36.0         37.0         36.0         36.0         36.0         36.0         37.0         36.0         36.0         37.0         36.0         36.0         37.0         36.0         36.0         37.0         36.0         37.0         36.0         37.0         36.0         37.0         36.0	N=120					
16-29 years (Young)   29-42 years (Middle)   66   55.0   42-55 years (Old)   12   10.0	S. No	Characters	No.	%		
16-29 years (Young)   29-42 years (Middle)   66   55.0   42-55 years (Old)   12   10.0	1.	Age				
29-42 years (Middle)			42	35.0		
2. Education Literates Literates Joint Social participation Literates Literates Literates Literates Literates Literates Social participation Low Social participation Low Low Low Low Low Social participation Low Low Low Low Low Social participation Low Low Low Social participation Low Low Low Social participation Low Low Social participation Low Low Social participation Low Medium Low Social participation Low Social participati				55.0		
2.       Education         Literates       64       53.33         Illiterates       56       46.67         3.       Family type       78       65.0         Nuclear       42       35.0         4.       Occupation       42       35.0         4.       Occupation       34       28.33         Home makers       18       15.00         Government job       05       04.17         5.       Marital status       05       04.17         5.       Marital status       08       06.67         Divorce       02       1.67       0.6         6.       Income       02       1.67         6.       Income       02       1.67         6.       Income       04       03.34         High       04       03.34         7.       Land       04       03.34         7.       Land       04       03.33         Small       34       28.34         Large       21       17.60         8.       Livestock holding         Smal       15       12.50         Medium       95       79.16 <td></td> <td>•</td> <td>12</td> <td>10.0</td>		•	12	10.0		
Illiterates	2.	•				
3.       Family type         Joint       78       65.0         Nuclear       42       35.0         4.       Occupation       34       28.33         Home makers       18       15.00         Government job       05       04.17         5.       Marital status       Married       99       82.50         Unmarried       11       9.16         Widow       08       06.67       06.67         Divorce       02       1.67         6.       Income       1       04       03.34         Low       73       60.83       60.83         Medium       43       35.83       35.83         High       04       03.34         7.       Land       25       20.83         Marginal       40       33.33         Small       34       28.34       28.34         Large       21       17.60         Medium       95       79.16         Large       10       8.34         9.       Social participation       25       20.84		Literates	64	53.33		
Joint Nuclear 42 35.0  Nuclear 42 35.0  Agriculture 63 52.50 Labour 34 28.33 Home makers 18 15.00 Government job 05 04.17  5. Marital status 99 82.50 Unmarried 11 9.16 Widow 08 06.67 Divorce 02 1.67  6. Income Low 73 60.83 Medium 43 35.83 High 04 03.34  7. Land Landless 25 20.83 Marginal 40 33.33 Small 34 28.34 Large 21 17.60  8. Livestock holding Smal 15 12.50 Medium 95 79.16 Large 10 8.34  9. Social participation Low 86 71.66 Medium 25 20.84		Illiterates	56	46.67		
A.       Occupation       42       35.0         Agriculture       63       52.50         Labour       34       28.33         Home makers       18       15.00         Government job       05       04.17         5.       Marital status       99       82.50         Married       99       82.50         Unmarried       11       9.16         Widow       08       06.67         Divorce       02       1.67         6.       Income       04       03.34         High       04       03.34         7.       Land       25       20.83         Marginal       40       33.33         Small       34       28.34         Large       21       17.60         8.       Livestock holding       15       12.50         Medium       95       79.16         Large       10       8.34         9.       Social participation       86       71.66         Medium       25       20.84	3.	Family type				
4.       Occupation         Agriculture       63       52.50         Labour       34       28.33         Home makers       18       15.00         Government job       05       04.17         5.       Marital status       99       82.50         Unmarried       11       9.16         Widow       08       06.67         Divorce       02       1.67         6.       Income       1         Low       73       60.83         Medium       43       35.83         High       04       03.34         7.       Land       25       20.83         Marginal       40       33.33         Small       34       28.34       28.34         Large       21       17.60         8.       Livestock holding       15       12.50         Medium       95       79.16         Large       10       8.34         9.       Social participation       86       71.66         Medium       25       20.84		Joint	78	65.0		
Agriculture Labour Labour Home makers Government job  5. Marital status Married Unmarried Widow Divorce Low Low High Landless Marginal Landless Marginal Large Smal Large  Scoial participation Low Medium Low Social participation Low Medium Low Medium Low Medium Large Social participation Low Medium Low Medium Low Medium Med		Nuclear	42	35.0		
Labour	4.	Occupation				
Home makers   18		Agriculture	63	52.50		
5.       Government job       05       04.17         Marital status       99       82.50         Unmarried       11       9.16         Widow       08       06.67         Divorce       02       1.67         6.       Income       1.67         Low       73       60.83         Medium       43       35.83         High       04       03.34         7.       Land       25       20.83         Marginal       40       33.33         Small       34       28.34         Large       21       17.60         8.       Livestock holding         Smal       15       12.50         Medium       95       79.16         Large       10       8.34         9.       Social participation       10       8.34         9.       Social participation       10       8.6       71.66         Medium       25       20.84		Labour	34	28.33		
5.       Marital status         Married       99       82.50         Unmarried       11       9.16         Widow       08       06.67         Divorce       02       1.67         6.       Income       1.67         Low       73       60.83         Medium       43       35.83         High       04       03.34         7.       Land       25       20.83         Marginal       40       33.33         Small       34       28.34         Large       21       17.60         8.       Livestock holding         Smal       15       12.50         Medium       95       79.16         Large       10       8.34         9.       Social participation       25       20.84		Home makers	18	15.00		
Married       99       82.50         Unmarried       11       9.16         Widow       08       06.67         Divorce       02       1.67         6.       Income         Low       73       60.83         Medium       43       35.83         High       04       03.34         7.       Land       25       20.83         Marginal       40       33.33         Small       34       28.34         Large       21       17.60         8.       Livestock holding       5         Medium       95       79.16         Large       10       8.34         9.       Social participation       10       8.34         9.       Social participation       25       20.84		Government job	05	04.17		
Unmarried Widow 08 06.67 Divorce 02 1.67  6. Income Low 73 60.83 Medium 43 35.83 High 04 03.34  7. Land Landless 25 20.83 Marginal 40 33.33 Small 34 28.34 Large 21 17.60  8. Livestock holding Smal 15 12.50 Medium 95 79.16 Large 10 8.34  9. Social participation Low 86 71.66 Medium 25 20.84	5.	Marital status				
Widow       08       06.67         Divorce       02       1.67         6.       Income		Married	99	82.50		
6.       Income         Low       73       60.83         Medium       43       35.83         High       04       03.34         7.       Land       25       20.83         Marginal       40       33.33         Small       34       28.34         Large       21       17.60         8.       Livestock holding       5         Smal       15       12.50         Medium       95       79.16         Large       10       8.34         9.       Social participation       10         Low       86       71.66         Medium       25       20.84		Unmarried	11	9.16		
6.		Widow	08	06.67		
Low 73 60.83 Medium 43 35.83 High 04 03.34  7. Land Landless 25 20.83 Marginal 40 33.33 Small 34 28.34 Large 21 17.60  8. Livestock holding Smal 15 12.50 Medium 95 79.16 Large 10 8.34  9. Social participation Low 86 71.66 Medium 25 20.84		Divorce	02	1.67		
Medium       43       35.83         High       04       03.34         7.       Land       25       20.83         Marginal       40       33.33         Small       34       28.34         Large       21       17.60         8.       Livestock holding       35         Smal       15       12.50         Medium       95       79.16         Large       10       8.34         9.       Social participation       86       71.66         Medium       25       20.84	6.	Income				
7.		Low	73	60.83		
7.       Land         Landless       25       20.83         Marginal       40       33.33         Small       34       28.34         Large       21       17.60         8.       Livestock holding       15       12.50         Medium       95       79.16         Large       10       8.34         9.       Social participation       10       8.34         Low       86       71.66         Medium       25       20.84		Medium	43	35.83		
Landless   25   20.83		High	04	03.34		
Marginal 40 33.33 Small 34 28.34 Large 21 17.60  8. Livestock holding Smal 15 12.50 Medium 95 79.16 Large 10 8.34  9. Social participation Low 86 71.66 Medium 25 20.84	<i>7</i> .	Land				
Small			25	20.83		
8. Large 21 17.60  8. Livestock holding 5mal 15 12.50  Medium 95 79.16  Large 10 8.34  9. Social participation Low 86 71.66  Medium 25 20.84		Marginal		33.33		
8. Livestock holding Smal 15 12.50 Medium 95 79.16 Large 10 8.34  9. Social participation Low 86 71.66 Medium 25 20.84		Small		28.34		
Smal 15 12.50 Medium 95 79.16 Large 10 8.34  9. Social participation Low 86 71.66 Medium 25 20.84			21	17.60		
Medium 95 79.16 Large 10 8.34  9. Social participation Low 86 71.66 Medium 25 20.84	8.	Livestock holding				
9. Large 10 8.34 Social participation Low 86 71.66 Medium 25 20.84		Smal				
9. Social participation Low 86 71.66 Medium 25 20.84						
Low         86         71.66           Medium         25         20.84			10	8.34		
Medium 25 20.84	9.					
High   09   7.50						
		High	09	7.50		

*N*=*Number of observations* 

Feeding and watering: The study revealed that most of the work regarding feeding and watering of animals was the sole responsibility of the women folk. They were responsible for the tasks like taking the animals for grazing, fodder collection, chaffing and storage of fodder etc. All the activities regarding feeding and watering were done only by women, which is in

Table2. Distribution of the rural women on the basis of their participation in dairy farming N=120

S No	Activities	No.	%
		110.	/0
1	Feeding & Watering Taking animals for grazing	99	82.5
	Taking animals for grazing Fodder collection	99 97	80.83
		90	75.0
	Chaffing the fodder	81	67.5
	Mixing green fodder with roughage	01	07.3
	Feeding the animals	104	86.66
	Storage of feed & fodder	93	77.5
	Watering the animals	102	85.0
2	Management		
	Construction of animal sheds	91	75.83
	Cleaning of animal sheds	107	89.16
	Washing & grooming of animals	85	70.83
	Milking	108	90.0
	Disposal of cow dung	104	86.66
	Maintaining farm & dairy records	63	52.5
3	Breeding		
	Taking animals for Artificial	94	78.33
	Insemination		
	Taking animals for natural service	83	69.16
	Taking animals for pregnancy	109	90.83
	diagnosis		
	Arranging materials	81	67.5
	during parturition		
	Calling veterinarian during	88	73.33
	dystocia		
4	Health Care		
	Care of sick animals	104	86.66
	Care of new born	107	89.16
	Care of pregnant animals	110	91.66
	Taking animals for treatment	99	82.5
	Vaccination/Medication	95	79.16
5	Processing & Marketing		
	Processing of livestock products	82	68.33
	Sale of milk and milk products	92	76.66
	Sale& purchase of animals	71	59.16
	Purchase of feeds and fodder	76	63.33
6	Miscellaneous		
	Getting loans/credit from banks/	59	49.16
	cooperatives		
	Record maintenance	63	52.5

*N*=*Number of observations* 

consonance with the findings of *Gupta et. al.* (1986) and *Rangnekar et. al.* (1992). It was observed that women in the old age category were mostly involved in

taking the animals out for grazing, as they could not engage themselves in other jobs which involved physical straining. On the other hand, women in the middle age group involved themselves in all the activities equally as per the family situations. With regard to storage of fodder, women involved themselves in hay making and were not aware of the importance of silage making. Table 2 depicts the activities of rural women in dairy farming.

The study revealed that 86.66 per cent of rural women were involved in feeding of animals followed by watering of animals (85%). The study exhibited that 82.5 per cent respondents were involved in taking the animals for grazing which was also reported by *Toppo et. al.* (2004). The study revealed that 80.83 per cent of women involved in activities like fodder collection while 75 per cent women performed chaffing of fodder for animals. The women also looked after storage of feed and fodder (77.5 %) in the form of hay making. The act of preparing feed i.e. mixing of concentrates with roughages or fodder was performed by 67.5 per cent of rural women. Similar findings were reported by *Jain and Verma* (1992).

Management: The next major activity where women participated actively was management of livestock. The work of animal shed construction, grooming of animals were also performed by women which is in accordance with the findings of *Puri (1971)* who revealed that preparation of feed, grazing and washing of animals were mostly carried out by women. It was observed that respondents majorly participated in milking of animals followed by their involvement in cleaning of milking utensils. Women actively participated in construction of animal sheds with locally available resources.

The study revealed that women performed activities like Milking (90%), cleaning of animal sheds (89.16%) and disposal of cow dung or preparation of cow dung cakes (86.66%). Similar findings were observed by *Jain and Verma* (1992). The farm women to the tune of 75.83 per cent women were involved in the construction of animal sheds which was also reported by *Toppo et. al.* (2004). The study also revealed that 70.83 per cent women performed washing and grooming of animals. Though majority of the women were illiterate, 52.5 per cent of farm women maintained a small book or piece

of paper as a record. Similar findings were reported by researcher *Yadav et. al.* (2005).

Breeding: Rural women participation in breeding activities was found to be least among all the selected activities due to social mores and taboos in society. The study revealed that 90.83 per cent of farm women were actively involved in taking animals for pregnancy diagnosis. The study also reported that 78.33 per cent of farm women took animals for Artificial Insemination while 69.16 per cent of rural women took the animal for natural service. Similar findings were also reported by Singh (2003). The study also revealed that 73.33 per cent respondents called the veterinarians during dystocia while 67.5 per cent respondents arranged bedding materials during parturition. These findings are in conformity with findings of Tripathi and Bhanja (2000).

Health care: The women in their late middle and old age actively participated in health care as they had learnt the things by seeing and out of experience. Most of the respondents interviewed were of the view that they require lot of training and knowledge with regard to the health care aspects. These results are in confirmation with the results of *Adhikari* (1987) and *Bhurtel* (1996).

The health care of animals was solely performed by women folk in the study area. The study revealed that 91.66 per cent rural women were engaged in health care of pregnant animals while 89.16 per cent respondents looked after new born or young calves. The study revealed that care of sick animals (86.66%) was exclusively performed by farm women. The findings are in conformity with the findings of *Rangnekar et. al.* (1992). The study reported that women were involved in taking animals for health treatment (82.5%) and vaccination or medication (79.16 %). Similar findings were reported by researchers *Tripathi and Bhanja* (2000).

Processing and marketing: Participation of women in processing and marketing activities was not much appreciated. The women folk rarely participated in milk processing and making other milk products like ghee, butter, khoa etc. The milk was supplied to milk collection centres of Karnataka Milk Federation. The women felt that preparation of milk products added to their existing

labour. They also perceived that women required more time for processing of milk and hence, only few women took up processing on only small scale. They produced milk products like ghee, butter and khoa only for their household consumption.

The study revealed that 76.66 per cent respondents involved in sale of milk and milk products while 68.33 per cent of farm women performed milk processing activities like ghee making and butter preparation. Similar findings were reported by *Toppo et. al.* (2004). The study also revealed that women participation was less as compared to men in the areas of sale and purchase of animals (59.16 %) and purchase of feed and fodder (63.33 %). These findings are in consonance with the reports of researchers *Tripathi and Arya*(1995).

Miscellaneous: Majority of the farm women in study area were ignorant about record maintenance and hence, it was observed that only 52.5 per cent of respondents maintained records in the form of small book or piece of paper. Similar findings were observed by researcher Yadav et. al.(2005) with regards to record maintenance. The rural women perceived the activities of getting loans/credits from the banks were the responsibility of men and hence only 49.16 per cent of women were involved in this activity. Similar findings were recorded by researcher Devaki (1999).

Table.3. Correlation coefficient between extent of participation and independent variables

S. No	Independent variables	ʻr'
X1	Age	-0.339**
X2	Education	-0.127
X3	Family Type	+0.189
X4	Marital status	+0.236
X5	Annual income	-0.118
X6	Land holding	-0.994**
X7	Livestock holding	+0.162**
X8	Social participation	-0.938**

<sup>\*\*</sup> Significant at 0.01 level of significance.

Relationship between Independent Variables and Extent of Participation by Women: To study the relationship between the extent of participation of women in dairy farming and the independent variables, correlation coefficient (r) was computed and the results are presented in the Table 3.

Out of the independent variables put to correlation analysis, only four variables age, land holding, livestock possession and social participation were found to be highly significant while the remaining variables failed to attain the statistical level of significance. The variables age, education, annual income, land holding and social participation were found to be negatively correlated, whereas parameters like family type, marital status and livestock possession were found to be positively correlated.

The independent variable age was found to be negatively correlated which implies that the participation of women in livestock production and management practices decreased as age advances due to their biological inability for more physical labour, as most of the livestock practices are labour intensive and involve drudgery and fatigue.

As for the parameter education, as the educational qualification of women increases, they preferred to undertake other entrepreneurial and technical works to the unskilled jobs of cleaning of sheds, feeding of cattle and washing of farm utensils etc. It was observed that more formally educated women were getting the employment in other sectors.

Similarly with the increase in land holding, the participation of women in livestock was found to decrease, as relatively less time was available at their disposal to attend to the livestock activities. Further with the male labour migrating towards the urban areas in search of jobs, women were bestowed with taking care of he farm animals. In such conditions it was found that the respondents tend to rear a limited herd size and some of the activities were distributed among the children of the household.

Women of low economic category were found to participate more in livestock operations as compared to women from high and medium income groups. It might be due to the reasons that as financial conditions improve, women incline to opt for hired labour, which in turn reduces their participation. Social participation was found to be negatively correlated with the extent of participation. The probable reason may be due the fact that women involving themselves in other social obligation do not find enough time to devote to animal rearing and the vice versa.

The independent variables viz. marital status, family

type and livestock possession was found to have a positive effect on their participation. The married women were held more responsible to take care of the livestock when compared to their unmarried counterparts. The reason may be that the institution of marriage in our society usually binds the women with more responsible roles of lending a helping hand and support to her husband's activities. In case of livestock farming she is automatically entrusted with the responsibility of taking care of the unskilled work involved in this enterprise. The unmarried respondents, on the other hand were free to make choices of their own. Similarly it was observed that with the increase in livestock holding or herd size, the participation of women automatically increased. When the total number of animals in the herd is more, works related to their care and management also increases, as such the extent of participation increases.

It was also observed that family type was found to have positive correlation with the extent of participation in livestock farming which indicates that women in joint and large families were entrusted with more responsibilities of taking care of the household, farm operations and livestock activities, whereas the male counterparts engaged themselves in other income generating activities.

#### CONCLUSION

Farm women handle most of the critical jobs and are considered to be the main actors in small scale farming. Though women play a significant role in dairy farming their control over livestock and its products is very minimal. The income from dairy animals does not remain in the hands of women and neither does the decision regarding sale and purchase. There is an urgent need to develop technologies which will help the respondents carry out the activities with ease since they are relatively unpleasing, back breaking, monotonous and involve drudgery, physical exertion which ultimately affects their physical and psychological well being. Farm women have to be motivated to acquire more scientific knowledge for increasing the livestock production through various extension techniques.

Paper received on : January 10, 2011 Accepted on : April 09, 2011

## **REFERENCES**

- 1. Adhikari (1987). Economic analysis of women labour involvement in crop and livestock farming at Nukawet district, Nepal. M.Sc Thesis (unpub.), B.S.U Phillippines.
- 2. Bhurtel, R. (1996). Women's participation in rural poultry development. *XX World's Poultry Congress, New Delhi*, 2 5 Sept 1996: 413-417.
- 3. Devaki, K.(1999). Information needs perception of farm women. M.V.Sc Thesis (unpub.), Tamil Nadu University VAS, Chennai
- 4. Gupta, S. N., R. I. Singh and Shukla, S. (1986) Role of women in cattle care. *Dairy Guide*. 8 (11): 43-46.
- 5. Jain, V. and Verma, S.K (1992). Nature and extent of involvement of men and women in animal husbandry operations. *Indian Dairyman.* **45** (7):332-337
- 6. Rangnekar, S. D., P. Bunyavejchewin (ed.), S. Sangdid (ed.) and K. Hangsanet (1992) Women in livestock production in rural India. Animal production and rural development. Proceedings of the *Sixth AAAP Animal Sci Congress*. 271-285.
- 7. Singh, S.P.(2003). Role performance of rural women in Dairy Management Practices in Haryana. *Indian J. Dairy Sci.* **56** (2): 100-106.
- 8. Toppo.A., M.S.Trivedinand and Ashok Patel.(2004). Participation of farm women in dairy occupation. *Guj. J. Ext. Edu.*, **15** (2):15-21.
- 9. Tripathi. H and. Arya, H.P.S.(1995). Participatory role of farm women in animal husbandry enterprise. *Indian J. Animal Sci.*. **65** (3):331-338.
- 10. Tripathi, H and Bhanja.(2000). Women's role in small holder production. Proceedings of the *International conference* on Small holder production system in developing countries held in Thrissur. pp. 550-556.
- 11. Yadav, J.P., K.Sharma and Hemalata Saini.(2005). Role performance of farm women in animal husbandry practices. Paper presented in *3rd National Extension Education Congress* on "Revitalization of Extension System in New Economic Order", held at National Dairy Research Institute, Karnal: April 27-29 .pp.111-112.