# FARMERS OPINION ABOUT SUSTAINABILITY OF LIVESTOCK PRODUCTION **TECHNOLOGIES**

Niranjan Lal and H.P.S., Arya

Sustainability is defind as the ability of an agro-eco-system to maintain productivity when subjected to a major disturbing force. According to Nicodemus (1992), sustainability is the successful management of resources to satisfy changing human needs while maintaining or enhancing the quality of environment and conserving natural resouces. Sustainability in the present study has been operationalised as using the natural resouces for meeting the present needs without jeopardizing the future potenial.

In over five decades of research in crop and animal sciences, many useful technologies have been generated by the research institutes and state agricultural universities, which have been transferred to the farmers to enhance the crop and livestock production and productivity. However, technologies available with research system have not been fully percolated down and reached to the farmers and still there exists a wide gap between the production potentials of technologies and performance at farmers' fields. The general criticism is that the technologies generated are not appropriate, sustainable and suiting to farmers' micro-situations or environment. There is a need to assess and refine them, so these suit to their environment and thus, are widely accepted and adopted by

Therefore, the present study was undertaken to know the lievestock owners' opinion regarding the sustainability of livestock production technologies.

### METHODOLOGY

The study was conducted in Bareilly district of Uttar Pradesh. Six villages viz., Mudia ahemad nagar, Mohanpur, Indira nagar, Aspura, Kalapur and Khata from Bareilly district were selected purposively owing to their nearness to the research institute. A total of 200 livestock owners from a cluster of six villages were purposively selected, who were using the animal husbandary technologies since last five years. These livestock owners were asked to provide their opinion regarding the sustainability of lievestock production technologies. The opinion obtained were then ranked on the basis of frequency of a

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Table-1 shows the opinion of livestock owners regarding the sustainable livestock production technologies. From the table, it is clear that technologies which were simple to use got the rank-I and were opined by majority of the livestock owners to be sustainable. Technologies which were cheap and easily procurable were also viewed as sustainable by most of the livestock owners and this view got the IInd rank.

According to one opinion " availability of market facilities for livestock and livestock production" was an important indicator of sustainability and got the IIIrd rank. A number of other opinions on sustainable livestock production technologies were provided by the livestock owners. Some felt that our traditional farming system and indigenous technical knowledge (1777) wners. Some felt that our traditional farming system technologies and hence, knowledge (ITK) were more sustainable than the new emerging technologies and hence, should be accordanced. should be encouraged. A few opined that farmers' or end users should be included in the technology development process to make them more sustainable. Some livestock owners suggested that the technologies should be disseminated without bias to all the farmers. A new idea of organizing " technology dissemination camps" once a month at a public place was suggested as an effective method to make technology sustainable by a number of

nability of livestock production technologies farmers.

	estainability of livestock p.s	Frequency	Rank
able 1:	Farmers opinion about sustainability of livestock prod		
SI.	Opinion So that we farmer	104	11
to pr	Opinion  Opinion  Inclogies should be cheap, so that we farmers are able rocure easily.  Inclogies should be disseminated only after proper field and refinement.	109	VIII
testi	ing and refinement.  ket facilities should be available for livestock and technical	161	Ш
. Mark	stock production.		
know	stock production.  traditional farming systems and indigenous technical wledge (ITK) were more sustainable and shoule be	159	IV
ODCC	ouraged History	nd ent 157	٧
1100	re (farmers) silvuid bo	168	1
6. Tech 7. Tech farm	hnologies should be simple hnologies should reach to all the hnology dissemination service should reach to all the ners and biasness of extension system towards well off	151	, VI
and	chnology Dissemination Camps" should be arranged	149	VII
	e a month at a public place.  Thinology should be free from side-effects.	98	IX

Dissemination of technology after proper field testing and refinerment was another suggestion to make our livestock production technologies sustainable. Some of the livestock owners were of the view that a livestock production technology could be sustainable only if it is free from side effects, i.e., it does not harm the animal or the surrouding environment in any manner.

CONCLUSION Sustainability means the ability of an agro-eco-system to maintain productivity when subjected to a major disturbing force. Our research system has developed several livestock production technologies among which some are sustainable and some are not. It is very necessary that we develop only such technologies which are sustainable and will not harm our environment. Farmers' views on sustainability of livestock production technologies were obtained in this study and according to the results it is clear that only simple, cheap and easily procurable technologies will be sustainable. They have also given their views on how the technologies can be made sustainable. These views include organisation of technology dissemination camps, including end users in technology development process, unbiased dissemination of technology and field testing and refinement of technologies before making them available for the livestock owners. Such suggestions are very important and should be kept in mind by the researcher and extension workers while developing and disseminating the livestock production technologies to make them more sustainable.

### REFERENCES

Nicodemus, K.D. (1992) Sustainability and Environment with special Reference to Food Production in the semi-arid tropics-ICRISAT, News letter No. 11.

Prettey Jules N. (1995). Regenaerating Agriculture Earthscan publication Ltd. London.
Putt. H. (1999). Present and future profitability of North land dairy farm. Proceeding of 51se meeting of dairy farmers, Massey University, New Zealand, PP. 245-322.