SOCIO-PERSONAL AND PSYCHOLOGICAL ATTRIBUTES OF THE TRAINERS OF REGIONAL INSTITUTES OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT (RIRDS)

Anjali Sarangi, 1 K.Singh 2 and Sabyasachi Roy 3

The task of changing the outlook of millions of rural families, acquainting them with the new knowledge, orienting them towards the acceptance of the innovations, and bringing about technological change in them is, therefore, indeed a tremendous task which a few extension personnel can hardly do. He is a generalist and requires a high degree of professional competency. The task of selecting extension personnel in most challenging and it is highly desirable that personal traits are closely associated with the success of extension worker's efficiency. Regional Institutes of Rural Development (RIRDs) in Kumaun region have been set up to impart training to the extension personnel at the district level. There are three RIRDs operating in Udham Singh Nagar, Nainital and Almora districts of Kumaun region and a sample of all the 32 trainers were selected and were interviewed for making generalisation. The management of the training institution requires the competent and skilled 'human resource' to monitor and evaluate the essential components such as increased training experience, reduction in learning time and cost, augmenting the job performance, more professional dedication, increase in job satisfaction and communication skill of the trainers in an organisation. Kherde and Sahay (1972) found that village level workers coming from rural families performed better than those coming from urban families because of the better understanding of the village social system. Again, Singh (1970) concluded that the greater the experience of extension officers, the better was their work performance. Realising the importance of attributes of the trainers in an organisation, it was thought necessary to study the personal and psychological profile of the trainers of the Regional Institutes of Rural Development (RIRDs) in Kumaun hill region.

METHODOLOGY

With a view to have a more comprehensive investigation about the general profile prevailing in the three training institutions, each located at Rudrapur, Haldwani and Hawalbagh of Udham Singh Nagar, Nainital and Almora districts respectively, about the 32 trainers linked with the training process were selected by using census method. Data were collected by using basic tools such as interview schedule and non-participant observation technique. The information, thus collected were further tabulated in light of the objective set forth and were statistically analysed by using frequency distribution and percentage analysis.

Some of the selected personal and psychological attributes of the trainers were as follows: Educational level: It is the level of literacy of the respondents having a range from illiterate to literate (Kishore, 1968). For the present study, the education has been operationalised as the respondent's level of formal education, acquired through systematic schooling till the day of investigation.

Background (Rural/Urban): It refers to the length of period of life, the respondents have spent in the rural and urban areas in terms of completed years and months till the date of interviews.

Work experience: It refers to the years of service rendered by the respondent as a paid-worker in any

Training experience: Training experience is operationalised as number of trainings received by each Job satisfaction: Job satisfaction of trainers refers to the degree of satisfaction or dissatisfaction with

various factors of their job which they perform in training process under RIRDs.

143 Ph.D, Scholar, Division of Dairy Extension, NDRI, Karnal (Haryana)

² Professor, Deptt. of Agril. Communication, G.B.P.U.A&T, Pantnagar (U.P)

Professional dedication: It is operationalised as the degree of self stimulus in individual for devotion towards profession. devotion towards profession. Therefore, professional dedication determines the performance and the productivity of an individual for Communication skill: It refers to the personal opinion of the respondent regarding the skills possessed by him with records to the personal opinion of the respondent regarding the skills.

possessed by him with regards to 'communication abilities', 'communication qualities' and 'familiarity' with audio-visual aide' a) Communication ability: It is operationalised as the trainer's ability to deliver the lectures fectively keeping in view.

- fectively keeping in view the preparation, method of teaching, confidence of the trainer, use of examples b) Communication quality: It refers to the worth of a trainer in expressing his/her ideas effectively by using good coasts.
- by using good speech skills, in expressing his ideas and limiting discussion with better results. c) Familiarity with audio-visual aids: It refers to the extent to which audio-visual aids are used by
- the trainer, while imparting knowledge to the trainees.

The selected socio-personal and psychological characteristics of the respondents viz., education, work experience, training experience, background, job satisfaction, professional dedication and communication skill have been studied and presented in the section (Table 1-4).

It is evident from the Table-1 that under RIRDs, 71.87 per cent were 'highly' educated, whereas 18.75 per cent had 'medium' and 9.37 per cent had 'low' level education. Majority of the respondents were found to be 'graduate and above' in all the training institutions. Such findings are in accordance

Table 1: Percentage Distribution of the respondents on the basis of education, background, total work experience, training experience, professional dedication, job satisfaction

S.No. Variables Rudrapur Haldwani Hawalbagh	exper	Tence, training	Regio	of the respondents on the professional dedication, job satisfaction Regional Institute of Rural Development (RIRD)				
1. Educational level i) Low (<high (<high="" ii)="" medium="" school)="">Intermediate) iii) :High (≥ Graduate) 2. Background i) Rural ii) Urban 3. Work Experience: i) Low (< 4.5 yrs) ii) Medium (4.5-6.5 yrs) iii) High (> 6.5 yrs) Training Experience: i) Bellow Average (< 7) ii) Average (7-19) iii) Above average (> 19) Professional Dedication i) Low (< 4.6) ii) Medium (46-52) iii) High (> 52) Level of job satisfaction i) Low (< 109) 17.64 23.52 25.00 18.7 75.00 53.1 25.00 53.1 35.29 62.50 37.50 62.50 37.50 62.50 37.50 28.1 37.50 37.50 43.7 37.50 40.6 37.50 40.6 41.77 47.05 47.0</high>	S.No.	Variables		Holdwani		Total		
1. Educational level i) Low (<high (<high="" ii)="" medium="" school)="">Intermediate) iii) :High (≥ Graduate) 2. Background ii) Urban 3. Work Experience: i) Low (< 4.5 yrs) iii) High (> 6.5 yrs) Training Experience: i) Bellow Average (< 7) ii) Average (7-19) Professional Dedication i) Low (< 46) iii) Above average (> 19) Professional Dedication i) Low (< 46) iii) High (> 52) Level of job satisfaction i) Low (< 109) 100.00 53.82 75.00 71.8 75.00 53.1 75.00 53.1 75.00 53.1 75.00 53.1 75.00 53.1 75.00 53.1 75.00</high>	5 . C. X				1 de la companya de l	0.27		
	1.		8 E ₀ = -	17.64	1 At At At			
Sintermediate	E	i) Low (<high school)<br="">ii) Medium (<high school<="" td=""><td>6 2 E 4</td><td>23.52</td><td>25.00</td><td></td></high></high>	6 2 E 4	23.52	25.00			
2. Background i) Rural ii) Urban 3. Work Experience: i) Low (< 4.5 yrs) ii) Medium (4.5-6.5 yrs) iii) High (> 6.5 yrs) Training Experience: i) Bellow Average (< 7) ii) Average (7-19) iii) Above average (> 19) Professional Dedication i) Low (< 46) ii) Medium (46-52) iii) High (> 52) Level of job satisfaction i) Low (< 109) 75.00 53.1 46.8 35.29 62.50 37.50 37.50 28.1 37.50 28.1 37.50 37.50 40.6 37.50 37.50 40.6 37.50 37.50 40.6 37.50 40.6 37.50 40.6 41.17 37.50 40.6 41.17 41.28 41.17 41.76 42.85 41.17 41.76 42.85 41.17 41.76 42.85 41.17 41.76 42.85 41.17 41.76 42.85 41.17 41.76 42.85 41.17 41.76 42.85 43.76 40.6 41.76 42.85 41.17 41.76 42.85 41.17 41.76 42.85 41.17 41.76 42.85 41.17 41.76 42.85 41.17 41.76 42.85 43.76 40.6 40.6 40.6 40.6 40.6 40.6 40.6 40.	,	>Intermediate)	100.00	53.82	75.00	71.87		
i) Rural ii) Urban Work Experience: i) Low (< 4.5 yrs) ii) Medium (4.5-6.5 yrs) iii) High (> 6.5 yrs) Training Experience: i) Bellow Average (< 7) ii) Average (7-19) iii) Above average (> 19) Professional Dedication i) Low (< 46) ii) Medium (46-52) iii) High (> 52) Level of job satisfaction i) Low (< 109) 14.28 28.57 28.10 25.00 46.8 46.8 46.8 46.8 46.8 46.8 46.8 46.8 46.70 37.50 37.50 37.50 28.1 46.8 47.05 37.50 37.50 40.6 40.			74.40	35 29	75.00	53.12		
ii) Urban Work Experience: i) Low (< 4.5 yrs) ii) Medium (4.5-6.5 yrs) iii) High (> 6.5 yrs) Training Experience: i) Bellow Average (< 7) ii) Average (7-19) Professional Dedication i) Low (< 46) ii) Medium (46-52) iii) High (> 52) Level of job satisfaction i) Low (< 109) 14.28 15.70 14.28 15.70 14.28 15.70 15.		i) Rural	The state of the s			46.87		
i) Low (< 4.5 yrs) ii) Medium (4.5-6.5 yrs) iii) High (> 6.5 yrs) Training Experience: i) Bellow Average (< 7) ii) Above average (> 19) Professional Dedication i) Low (< 46) ii) Medium (46-52) iii) High (> 52) Level of job satisfaction i) Low (< 109) 14.28 35.29 62.50 37.50 28.1 29.41 37.50 28.1 29.41 37.50 28.1 29.41 37.50 28.1 37.50 28.1 37.50 40.6 37.50 40.6 37.50 40.6 58.80			28.57	04.70	20.00	200		
ii) Low (< 4.5 yrs) iii) Medium (4.5-6.5 yrs) iii) High (> 6.5 yrs) Training Experience: i) Bellow Average (< 7) ii) Average (7-19) 28.57 23.52 37.50 28.1 iii) Above average (> 19) Professional Dedication i) Low (< 46) ii) Medium (46-52) iii) High (> 52) Level of job satisfaction i) Low (< 109) 14.28 55.71 64.70 37.50 28.1 29.41 37.50 28.1 37.50 28.1 47.05 47.05 37.50 40.6 11.76 25.00 26.50 27.60 28.1 29.41 37.50 28.1 29.41 37.50 28.1 29.41 37.50 29.41 37.50 29.41 37.50 29.41 37.50 29.41 29.41 37.50 29.41 29.41 37.50 29.41 29.41 37.50 29.41 29			44.20	35.20	62.50	37.50		
iii) High (> 6.5 yrs) 85.71 64.70 37.50 62.5 Training Experience: 1) Bellow Average (< 7)	, i) Low (< 4.5 yrs)	14.28	33,29	02.50	-		
Training Experience: i) Bellow Average (< 7) ii) Average (7-19) 28.57 23.52 37.50 28.1 iii) Above average (> 19) Professional Dedication i) Low (< 46) 42.85 47.05 37.50 43.7 iii) Medium (46-52) 42.85 41.17 37.50 40.6 iii) High (> 52) Level of job satisfaction i) Low (< 109) 58.80			05 74	64.70	27.50	62.50		
i) Bellow Average (< 7) ii) Average (7-19) 28.57 23.52 37.50 28.1 28.1 29.41 37.50 28.1 28.1 29.41 37.50 28.1 28.1 29.41 37.50 28.1 28.1 29.41 2			65.71	04.70	37.50	02.00		
ii) Average (7-19) iii) Above average (> 19) Professional Dedication i) Low (< 46) ii) Medium (46-52) iii) High (> 52) Level of job satisfaction i) Low (< 109) 28.57 23.52 37.50 28.1 47.05 47.05 37.50 43.7 42.85 41.17 37.50 40.6 11.76 25.00			14 28	20.44	27.50	20.12		
iii) Above average (> 19) Professional Dedication i) Low (< 46) 42.85 47.05 25.00 43.7 43.7 43.7 43.85 41.17 37.50 40.6 40.6 40.6 40.6 40.6 40.6 40.6 40.			A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O	The state of the s				
Professional Dedication i) Low (< 46) ii) Medium (46-52) 42.85 41.17 37.50 40.6 iii) High (> 52) Level of job satisfaction i) Low (< 109) 42.85 42.85 41.17 37.50 25.00 15.6	ii)	Average (7-19)		Description of the second	1 12 2 122	28.12		
i) Low (< 46) 42.85 47.05 37.50 43.7 40.6 ii) Medium (46-52) 1ii) High (> 52) Level of job satisfaction i) Low (< 109) 42.85 41.17 37.50 40.6 25.00 15.6	liii)	Above average (> 19)	57.14	47.05	25.00	43.75		
ii) Medium (46-52) iii) High (> 52) Level of job satisfaction i) Low (< 109) 42.85 41.17 37.50 40.6 25.00 15.6			12.05					
iii) High (> 52) Level of job satisfaction i) Low (< 109) 14.28 11.76 25.00 40.6 15.6			MISHINGSON IN	initiation to the	37.50	43.75		
iii) High (> 52) Level of job satisfaction i) Low (< 109) 14.28 11.76 25.00 15.6			The second secon	41.17	37.50	40.62		
Level of job satisfaction i) Low (< 109) 58.80	iii)	High (> 52)	14.28	11.76	25.00	15.62		
1 20.00			1			10.02		
1994 11 (400 455) 400 00 37		•		58.80	25.00	07.50		
(ii) Medium (109-155) 100.00 29.40	ii) N	/ledium (109-155)	100.00	10.25		37.50		
iii) High (> 155) 53.40 62.50 53.6 11.80 12.50 9.3	iii) H	High (> 155)		DCDC001 313-0		53.12		

In concern to background of the trainers, in Rudrapur and Hawalbagh, majority of the respon-69 dent were found to have 'rural' background whereas in Haldwani, a higher percentage (64.70%) of the trainers belong to 'urban' category. As a whole, 53.12 per cent had rural and 46.87 per cent had 'urban' background. These finding are in consonance with the findings of Kelsey and Hearne (1949) who reported that the extension agent should have a rural background.

As regards to total experience, the trainers of Rudrapur and Haldwani were found to have 'high' work experience of 85.71 per cent and 64.70 per cent respectively. The majority of the respondents (62.50%) were found to be 'highly' experienced. Regarding the total in-service trainings received by the trainers, again the trainers from Rudrapur (57.14%) and Haldwani (47.05%) were found to have 'above average' category of training experience. Overall maximum (43.75%) training personnels were in category of 'above average' training experience.

The data further revealed that the trainers from Rudrapur (42.85%) and Hawalbagh (37.50%) had 'medium' and Haldwani (47.05%) had 'low' level of professional dedication. A higher fraction (43.75%) of trainers were found to have 'low' level of professional dedication in all the training institutions. In concern to the 'level of job satisfaction', in general only 9.37 per cent trainers had 'high' level of job satisfaction while 53.12 per cent had 'medium' level of job satisfaction (Table-1). This may be due to the fact get they have no authority commensurate with their job responsibilities and lack of

Table 2: Job satisfaction of trainers of three training institutions

S. No.	Variables	Regional Institute of Rural Development (RIRD)							
110.		Rudrapur		Haldwani		Hawalbagh			-
1	Recognition	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	Total	Rank
6.	Recognition Responsibility Auvancement Organisational Policy Working Condition Salary Interpersonal Relations	5.62 5.13 5.85 5.45 7.87 6.71 8.26	V VII IV IV III III III III III III III	5.70 7.52 4.82 6.76 5.76 7.88 6.65	VI II VII III V I	6.62 7.00 7.62 8.12 6.33 5.12 7.50	V IV II · I VI VI	6.04 6.55 6.09 6.77 6.65 6.57	VII V VI II III

Table-2 reveals the distribution pattern of mean scores and ranks with respect to the 'job satisfaction' of trainers in the three training institutions. From the perusal of data, it could be inferred that 'interpersonal relations', 'salary' and 'organizational policy' ranked lst in Rudrapur, Haldwani and Hawalbagh respectively. Overall finding of the table reveals that 'interpersonal relations' and 'organisational policy' ranked 1st and IInd respectively, whereas last VII rank was assigned to 'recognition'.

Table 3: Percentage Distribution of respondents according to communication abilities of different training institutions

S.No.	Communication	Regional Institute of Rural Development (RIRD)				
	Abilities	Rudrapur	Haldwani	Hawalbagh	Total N=32	
1.	Low (0-17)	-	17.64	25.00	15.62	
2.	Medium (18-35)	28,57	47.05	50.00	43.75	
3.	High (≥ 36)	71.42	35.29	25.00	40.62	

The Table-3 reveals that 71.42 per cent trainers of Rudrapur had 'high' communication abilities. On an average trainers (43.75%) had 'medium' followed by 40.62 per cent had 'high' communica-

Table 4 : Percentage Distribution of respondents according to communication qualities of different training institutions

SI.	Communication	Regi	onal Institute of the	Rural Development	Total N=32	
No.	Qualities	Rudrapur	Haldwani	Hawalbagh		
1.	Low (0-13)	14.28	29.41	37.50 37.50	28.12 40.62	
2.	Medium (14-26)	28.57	47.05 23.12	28.00	31.25	
3.	High (≥ 26)	57.14	ligh (≥ 26) 57.14 23.14		d communication	qualities May

The Table-4 indicates that a very few trainers had 'low' communication qualities. Maximum (40.62%) trainers had 'medium' communication qualities where as 31.25 per cent and 28.12 per cent had 'medium' and 'low' communication qualities respectively.

CONCLUSION

The analysis indicated that cent percent trainers of Rudrapur and majority of Haldwani and Hawalbagh were 'graduate and above'. More than half of the training personnel of Rudrapur(75.42%) and Hawalbagh (75%) belonged to 'rural' background. Overall, only 15.62 per cent of trainers had 'high' level of professional dedication. All the trainers of Rudrapur and 62.5 per cent of Hawalbagh were in 'medium' category of job satisfaction. Majority (71.42%) trainers of Rudrapur had 'high' and Haldwani(47 %) and Hawalbagh(50%) were distributed in 'medium' category of communication abilities.

Finally, it can be concluded from the above results that the attributes like education, family background and training experience does not influence the professional dedication of the trainers. Besides, professional dedication decreases with the increase in working experience of the workers. However, the results indicated that professional dedication was found to be positively related with the level of job satisfaction of the trainers.

REFERENCES

- Kelsey, L.D. and Hearne, C.C. 1949. Co-operative Extension Work, Comstock Publishing Co., Ithaca, New York.
- Kherde, R.L. and Sahay, B.N. 1972. Role performance and role prediction of VLWs in the new strategy of Agricultural Production. Indian J. Extension Education. 8: 67-69.
- Kishor, D. 1968. A study of effectiveness of Radio as a mass communication medium in dissemination of Agricultural Information, Unpublished Ph.D. Thesis, IARI, New Delhi.
- Patel, I.C. 1972. Communication patterns and procedures associated with V.L.Ws. effectiveness. Indian Journal J. Extension Education. 4: 182.
- Salvi, P.W. and Dudhani, C.M. 1967. The role of personal characteristics in the job effectiveness of VLW. Indian J. Extension Education. 3: 3-4.
- Singh, A.P. 1970. An anlysis of training needs of agricultual extension officers working in IAAP block of Bihar, Unpublished Ph.D. Thesis, IARI, New Delhi.