Use of Communication Sources by Tribal Dairy Farmers - A Study

Jitendra Chauhan¹ and A K Singh²

1. Introduction

Tribals constitute almost 8.02 per cent of country's population. Various efforts had been done in past to develop agricultural, iivestock and allied resource under various tribal development programme. Development of dairy potentials of tribal areas was also emphasized during past, keeping The present study aimed to possibility of subsidiary sources of income through this enterprise. investigate various sources of communication used by Munda tribal dairy farmers to obtain useful information.

2. Methodology

The study was conducted in the district of Ranchi, Jharkhand having high concentration of tribal population. 200 tribal dairy farmers were selected from eight villages situated in four different development blocks, by using multi-stage sampling. Investigation was conducted through interview method with the help of structured schedule.

3. Result and Discussion

3.1. Personal Locality Sources

Majority of tribal dairy farmers (80%) consulted tribal leader to seek information on different aspects followed by progressive farmers (73%) and neighbours (65.5%). However, friends were consulted by 43 per cent respondents and relatives acted as source of information for more 40 per cent respondents. Bhati (1971 and 1976) has also reported that local leaders were the major source of

3.2. Personal Cosmopolite Source

The extension personnels serve as the nearest experts on practical matters for the villagers. Table 1 clearly indicates that Gram Sevak were consulted personnel from cooperative department (37.5%) and scientist/staff of the nearby agricultural university (24.5%). Assistant Extension Officer were mentioned by 17 per cent whereas, Bank personnel were consulted by 11 per cent. Only 2.5 per cent respondents consulted with Live Stock Development

3.3. Mass Media

Among sources of mass communication, majority used radio (21.5%) followed be newspapers (5.5%) and Television (1.5%). Similar findings have also been reported by Singh and Mishra (2000).

3.4. Credibility of Communication Sources

The choice of respondents regarding most influential source of information were sought in order of preference out of which the first choice was considered as given in Table 2.

Reader, Department of Agricultural Extension, R.B.S. College, Bichpuri, Agra. 1. Assistant Professor, Department of Agricultural Communication, College of Agriculture, GBPUA &T,

5. References

preficience of the Levis of influence of the L leader's and paners we lamers. Thus, it is glo on the -pursonal sources. Tribal leaders ha Progressive caminatry virtue of their papertises

M.Sc. Thesis submitted to U.P. Ayril

Bhafi 1.P. (1975). Small scale forming in hibel e Sadamate, V.V. (1976). A study of hibus in submitted to IARI, New Odle Sing R. A. K. and C. P. Mish. 3 (2000) Convenience Vidyarth L.P. (1963) The Maler: A case shudy

Majority of tribal dairy farmers had considered progressive farmers (31.5%) as most credible source of information followed by tribal leaders (29.5%), relative and friends (13.5%) and neighbours (11%). Village Level Workers (7%) were considered as fifth credible source of information. The findings of this study are partially in line with the findings of Vidyarthi; (1963), Sadmate (1978) and Singh and Mishra (2000).

That sivery indicates that personal localite sources, which are part and parcet of the bibat scelar file fare Table 1 Distribution of the Tribal Dairy Farmers on the estage and show board and boar Basis of Their Use of Communication Sources and Reading and the Advances and Indiana and I

SI.	Communication sources	Frequency	% ge			
No	2 THE CALDMAN DEC. CHISTOST	no orecord	The state of			
1.	Personal Localite					
i.	Neighbourset (hiet) ladat (gramma31 inde	65.50			
Ü.	Friends were neighbored and	n bez 86 gxe s	43.00			
iii.	Progressive farmers		73.00			
iv.			40.00			
v .136	Relatives Tribal leader	160	80.00			
2.	Personal Cosmopolite	agin, angu	18 10011 7			
1.	Gram Sevak	97	48.50			
ii.	Asst. Extn. Officer	34	17.00			
Service of	(Asst. stockman)	untinarios tadat	spects of			
iii.	Cooperative personnel	75	37.50			
iv.	Bank personnel	22	11.0			
٧.	University staff (%1) 10	area 649	24.50			
Vi.	Livestock .gep vgoloni	toet b 5 a mote	2.50			
	Development officers		. 1.54			
3.	Mass Media	Issuit to yew	don Baha			
i.	News naner	o think now.	5.50			
ii.	Radio	40	21.50			
iii.	T.V.	3	1.50			

Table 2 Source Credibility Pattern Operating Among Tribal Dairy Farmers

SI No	Source	Respondent % ge s' first choice		Rank
140	E A - work	(no.) n =200		
1.	Village level worker	14	7.00	٧
2.	Progressive farmers	63	31.50	1
3.	Tribal leader *	59	29.50	11
4.	Relative and friends	27	13.50	111
5.	Neighbours	22	11.00	IV
6.	Asst. Development Officer (ADO)	7	3.50	VI
7.	Livestock Development Officer (LDO)	2	1.00	VIII
8.	Bank personnel	3	1.50	VII
9.	News paper	0	0.00	NIL
10.	Radio	2	1.00	VIII
11.	T.V.	1	0.50	IX

Assistant Development Officers were expressed as most credible source by mere 3.5 per cent tribal dairy farmers followed by Bank personnel (1.5%) and L.D.Os and Radio were reported by 1 per cent respondent each followed by Television (0.5%). No one mentioned Newspapers as the first choice.

4. Conclusion

The study indicates that personal localite sources, which are part and parcel of the tribal social life are being used more than personal cosmopolite sources consisting of extension personnel from state development departments or State Agricultural University. Mass media of communication are used least. While tribal leaders are used by vast majority, progressive farmers and neighbours are also quite popular. This indicates preference for intimate local sources. Among official, Gram Sevaks and Cooperative personnel are used by many. Radio is most popular among tribal dairy farmers. The first preference, on the basis of influence of the source was expressed by majority in favour of t5ribal leaders and progressive farmers. Thus, it is clear that tribal society being close knit, depends mostly on inter -personal sources. Tribal leaders have social authority and thus, the command respect. Progressive farmers by virtue of their expertise are most sought after.

5. References

Bhati, J.P. (1971). A study on socio-economic aspects of tribal agriculture in Tarai (U.P). Unpublished M.Sc. Thesis submitted to U.P. Agril. University, Pantnagar.

Bhati, J.P. (1976). Small scale farming in tribal areas. Eastern Economist. 67(19): 893-894.

A study of tribal farming system and technology gap. submitted to IARI, New Delhi. Ph. D. Thesis

Singh, A.K. and O.P. Mishra (2000). Communication Behavior of Tribal Dairy Farmers. (Unpublished) Vidyarthi, L.P. (1963). The Maler: A case study in Nature-Man Spirit Complex of Hill tribe. Book land