

Pesticide Utilization and Training Needs for Nigerian Farm Households

Augustine J. Udoh¹ and Comfort E. Ekanem²

1. Professor (Agricultural and Farming Systems Extension), Department of Agricultural Economic & Extension, University of Uyo, Uyo; 2. Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria, 2. Department of Agricultural Technology, Akwa Ibom State College of Arts and Science, Nung Ukim, Ikono, Akwa Ibom State

Corresponding author e-mail: augtine@yahoo.com

Paper Received on May 11, 2017, Accepted on June 12, 2017 and Published Online on July 01, 2017

ABSTRACT

A study on pesticide utilization and training needs for Nigerian farm households was conducted in Akwa Ibom State. Two hundred and seventy-two farmers (272) randomly selected from a population of 14, 640 took part in the study. Questionnaire were used to collect data from the respondents. Descriptive and inferential statistics were used to analyze the data. The study reveals that the level of adherence to correct utilization of pesticides is significantly dependent on its determinant socioeconomic characteristics, knowledge level, information communication source and constraints. The study further reveals that some banned pesticides are still found in circulation and used by farmers. Training of farmers on pesticide use is necessary especially in handling of pesticides by respondents during and after utilization.

Key words: *Pesticide; Utilization; Training;*

Pesticides are substances or mixtures of substances intended to repel or combat pests that attack plants and animals. According to Anyim (2003) pesticides are regarded as a basic tool in pest management because they provide a dependable rapid and effective means of controlling most of the pests when used judiciously. Pesticides by their nature are harmful to man and environment. This is noted by Ibitayo (2007) that pesticides are however, poisonous by design and poisonings resulting from unsafe use of these chemicals. Consequently, pesticides are the most prevalent and serious occupational hazards faced by farmers and agricultural workers in the developing nations.

Pesticides were introduced into the Nigerian farming systems because pest and diseases damage had been identified on a worldwide basis to be a major constraint to increased crop animals production with an estimated loss of 30 per cent and 33 per cent or more in many crops and animals production respectively on annual basis. In crops like cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L. Walp) and second season maize (*Zea mays* L.), it was literally possible to obtain no yield if the crops were

not protected from pest and diseases, National Agricultural Extension and Research Liaison Services (NAERLS, 1995). Moreover, as observed by Youdeowei (1989), pest damage in storage is estimated to range from 5 to 70 per cent.

However, at the 7th International Cocoa Research Conference the Nigerian delegates reiterated the main problem confronting cocoa protection in Nigeria as very low yield due to pest and disease, which are capable of destroying more than half of the production (Anonymous, 1979). As the loss to cocoa farmers became imperative, Lodeman (1988) then observed the prominence in the use of technology in the application of pesticides to effectively control pests and diseases with various types of knapsack sprayers. This move was necessary to reduce produce and financial losses to provide food and income at a sustainable level. However, pesticide use is associated with risk and can be hazardous if not handled properly. It was disclosed by Falewonyomi (1995) that cocoa farmers using pesticides containing Aldrin, Gamma BHC, Copper sulphate, Paraquat dichloride and related agro chemicals

face constant exposure to these pesticides.

But human exposure to pesticides is an important health and social issue as it usually results in serious health problems and even death. For instance, "official sources in Benin recorded that at least 37 people died over the 1991-2000 cropping season due to pesticide poisoning. The source was the hazardous insecticide, Endosulfan, introduced because of cotton pest resistant to pyrethroid pesticides", (*International Projects, Pesticide Action Network, 2000*). The harmfulness of pesticides, *Yudeman and Nygaard (1998)* disclosed that there is a high probability that pesticide use and pesticide induced side effects will grow more rapidly in the developing countries as a whole than in the developed ones. This is because of weak regulations barring the importation and use of dangerous chemicals and the inactivity or absence of government and non-government environmental control agencies.

Nigeria in general and Akwa Ibom State in particular are not isolated from the global effects of pesticides due to inadequate education and regulations on the proper use and storage of fresh foods by most rural farmers and food retailers. More than 600,000 farming households in Akwa Ibom State have been exposed to various problems due to poor handling and use of pesticides and being exposed to hazards from pesticides stored in farm homes according to *Udoh (1998)*. The rural communities may be the most vulnerable to harmful effects of pesticides since more than 75 per cent of farming activities are carried out in the rural areas. Many of these rural farmers do not have proper education on the correct quantity of pesticides applied to crops and the right period to be applied. The left over pesticides are carelessly handled not considering the harmfulness to their lives and those living around them. This could not be unconnected with weak regulations and guidelines for implementation by relevant authorities in the country. For these reason, it is observed by *Okopido (2002)* that pesticide misuse and abuse are likely to be rampant due to inadequate education on the guidelines and controls on safe use and disposal of containers, and limited awareness about the lethal toxicity of these chemicals.

Although pesticides can be used to effectively combat pest of crops and livestock for improved yield, improper usage and control may result in unintended consequences, In Akwa Ibom State, information on

proper use of pesticides appears relatively scanty among farmers and other pesticides users. The seemingly, dearth of information on pesticide therefore calls for concern. In Nigeria, to attain food sufficiency, the government encourages farmers to use improved seeds, fertilizers and the use of some recommended agro-chemicals or pesticides. As observed "pesticides as an agricultural input are composed of active ingredient and insert materials which are used in their formulation to control pest and diseases" (*Lawal et al, 2005*). *Atu (1990)* noted that most of these pesticides are dangerous, toxic and cause serious health hazard to human beings.

Again this background, the study examined the socioeconomic characteristics of the farmers, the pesticides used by farmers in Akwa Ibom State of Nigeria, sources and types of the pesticides used by the farmers and level of awareness and adherence to pesticide use by the farmers. This study is of immense benefit to farmers and the general public because they will know the recommended and banned pesticides and the dangerous effects of these pesticides on human health. Farmers will also know the correct method of pesticides utilization on their farms. The danger of mis-handling agro-chemicals at pre-and post-application on the farms will be evaded. The work will also create more data for other researchers in the area of pesticides and environment oriented studies in Akwa Ibom State in particular and in Nigeria in general.

Hypothesis of the Study : A null hypothesis was stated that the level of adherence to correct utilization of pesticides by farmers in the study area is not significantly dependent on its determinant socioeconomic characteristics, knowledge level, information communication source, and constraints.

METHODOLOGY

The study was conducted in Akwa Ibom State located in the Southeastern Zone (Latitude 4°33' and 5°35' N and longitude 7°35' and 8°25'E) of Nigeria. The major crops grown in the state are oil palm, rubber, cocoa, kola nuts, coconut, citrus, cassava, rice, cowpea, plantain, banana, pineapple and vegetables (Akwa Ibom Ministry of Agriculture, 2005). The state is divided into six agricultural zones. Three zones were randomly selected to take part in the study. Two hundred and seventy-two (272) farmers were randomly selected from

a population of 14,640 Akwa Ibom State Agricultural Development Programme (AKADEP) registered farmers to take part in the study. Questionnaire were used to collect data on the respondents' socioeconomic characteristics, knowledge level, information communication source, and constraints on the use of pesticides. The hypothesis was tested using multiple regression and ordinary least square method to estimate the level of adherence to correct pesticide utilization. The implicit form of the model thus:

$$Y = f(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_7, x_8)$$

Where:

Y	=	Adherence to correct use of pesticides
X ₁	=	Age,
X ₂	=	Educational qualification,
X ₃	=	Farming experience,
X ₄	=	Dependency ratio,
X ₅	=	sex,
X ₆	=	Knowledge level,
X ₇	=	Information communication source, and
X ₈	=	Constraints

To obtain estimates for the parameters in the relationship, four common functional forms of the ordinary least square method (OLS) namely Linear, Exponential Double –Log and Semi-Log were applied.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This section presents the results and discussion based on the data obtained during the field work. The presentations are done in sub-sections as follows; socio-economics characteristics of the respondents, information source and types of pesticides available for farmers, level of adherence to pesticide application, level of knowledge about pesticide utilization, the training needs of farmers in pesticide utilization and constraints to pesticide application by the respondents.

Socioeconomic characteristics of farmers : This sub-section provides an overview of the personal characteristics of farmers in Akwa Ibom State. Personal characteristics variables considered in the study were age, sex marital status, educational qualification, monthly income, farming experience, household size and dependency level of households.

Age: Age plays a very significant role in agriculture. The age of the respondents ranged between 20-35 years for 31.6 per cent of them and 51-65 years for 14 per cent while the range of 36-50 years was represented

by 54.4 per cent (Table 1). This formed the modal age group of the respondents. Therefore, 86 per cent of the respondents constituted an active work force in the study area. It is expected that this active work force in the agricultural sector will take pains to evaluate pesticide before use in their various farms.

Sex: The data on Table 1 show that 55.9 per cent of the respondents were male while 44.1 were female. This shows that more males are involved in farming and of course they control productive resource and are likely go out to source for pesticide. The female of course in a household headed by male, preference would be given to the man to respond to such inquiry than women, who most often work as farmhands. During pesticide utilization the males might still be expected to head, so more male farmers might form a majority of pesticide hazard victims compared to their female counterparts.

Marital Status: Data in Table1 indicate that 47.4 per cent of the respondents were married, 27.6 per cent were single and while 25 per cent were widows. The highest percentage of respondents of 47.4 per cent were married and were engaged in farming activities. There could be a division of labour while the husband may be applying pesticide in the farm, the wife may be engaged in other activities in the farm.

Educational qualification: The level of education is an important factor in the use of modern agricultural technologies. Data in Table 1 show that 42.3 per cent of the respondents had secondary school education 9.9 per cent had tertiary institution education 30.5 per cent had primary school education while 17.3 per cent had no formal education. The combination of the percentages for secondary and tertiary education gave a total 52.2 per cent and indication that many farmers in Akwa Ibom State can relatively read and write. This invariably means that they can read pesticides instruction for application.

Monthly Income: The level of income of a farmer may determine his spending. Farmers with high level of income may likely purchase large quality of farm inputs. Similarly, according to *Pinstrup – Anderson (1985)* the ability of an individual to obtain needed food depends on income and purchasing power. From Table 1 it is indicated that 58.5 per cent of the respondents earned between N5,000 – N30,000; 32 per cent of them earned between N31,000 – N60,000; 7.0 per cent earned between N61,000 – N90,000 while 2.5 per cent earned

Table 1. Socioeconomic characteristics distribution of respondents and dependency ratio

Variables	No.	per cent
<i>Age (years)</i>		
20 – 35	86	31.6
36 – 50	148	54.4
51 – 65	38	14.0
Total	272	100.0
<i>Sex</i>		
Male	152	55.9
Female	120	44.1
Total	272	100.0
<i>Marital status</i>		
Single	75	27.6
Married	129	47.4
Widowed	68	25.0
Total	272	100.0
<i>Education qualification</i>		
No formal education	47	17.3
Primary	83	30.5
Secondary	115	42.3
Tertiary	27	9.9
Total	272	100.0
<i>Monthly income (Naira)</i>		
N5,000 – N30,000	159	58.5
31,000 – 60,000	87	32.0
61,000 – 90,000	19	7.0
N91,000 – N120,000	7	2.5
Total	272	100.0
<i>Farming experience (years)</i>		
0 – 10	88	32.3
11 – 20	130	47.8
21-30	44	16.2
31 – 40	10	3.70
Total	272	100.0
<i>Household size (hhs)</i>		
0 – 4	77	28.3
5 – 9	111	40.8
10 – 14	67	24.6
15 – 19	17	6.3
Total	272	100.0
<i>Dependency ratio</i>		
0.000 – 0.339	160	58.8
0.034 – 0.669	79	29.0
0.670 – 1.000	33	12.1
Total	272	100.0

Source: Field data, 2014

between N91,000 to N120,000 per month. The highest percentage of 58 per cent of the respondents earning between N5,000 – N30,000 is an evidence that farmers monthly income in the state is poor coupled with the fact that many of them are married with children. This income class can have restrictions in the purchase of pesticides in case of pests attack on crops and livestock in their farms.

Farming experience : According to *Udoh and Umoh (2011)* it is imperative that farmers acquire enough experience to enable them succeed in their farming business because experience has shown that the longer we stay in an occupation the higher the skills derived. Experience therefore helps one to adjust to adverse farming conditions and to adopt modern farming technologies. The years of farming experience of the respondents (80.1%) is from 10 – 20 years showing that many farmers were relatively experienced in farming. Their one to two decades of experience have made significant contribution to agricultural sector in terms of food production. But due to poor income as reflected in the Table 1 the farmers might not meet the challenges especially in the sustainable application of pesticides to combat pests in their farms.

Household size : Farm households are characterized by high number of members or rather with a high dependency ratio (*Udoh, 1999*). Similarly, the household size of the respondents with regards to pesticide utilization is also noted with a high dependency ratio. For instance, only 28.3 per cent of the respondents had less than 4 members per household, while the modal group of 40.8 per cent had between 5 to 9 members pre household, 24.6 per cent had 10 – 14 and 6.3 per cent had 15 – 19 members per household (Table 1). It is certain that a large household size offers free and cheap labour for farm activities. Though this helps to increase output of farm production, many of the household members may be affected by hazardous effects of pesticide especially when the applicators are using the pesticides with less care to rules and regulations as contained in the manuals.

Dependency ratio : This is used to know how many young people (under 16) and older people over 64) depend on people of working age. The higher the dependency ratio in a country, the more people who are not working age and the fewer those who are working and paying taxes. From the analysis, a dependency ratio

range of 0.000 – 0.339 is represented by 58.8 per cent, a range of 0.0340 – 0.669 is represented by 29.0 per cent and a range of 0.670 – 1.000 is represented by 12 per cent of the respondents. The explanation is that high and fairly high dependency ratios of 58.8 per cent and 29 per cent respectively is an indication that people have few active workers and feed more people who are not assisting in farm work activities. This situation could result in less labour if only 12 per cent are the active workforce of the total respondents and therefore may affect negatively pesticide utilization by the respondents.

Information sources of pesticides available to farmers : In this sub-section, the study examined the sources of pesticides, pattern of awareness and utilization by farmers, knowledge pattern on banned pesticides but still in circulation and degree of use through the sources by farmers in the study area. Farmers have a wide variety of sources of information for farming business. The choice of information sources depends largely on the level of training and exposure of the farmers. As observed by *Robinson et al (2007)*, trained farmers use multiple sources to inform themselves about pest management, whereas untrained farmers rely almost exclusively on pesticide dealers and their own experience.

Information sources on pesticide utilization : The frequency of usage of the available sources were computed and the results shown on Table 2 based on the following components of I, II, and III.

Component I: Source of purchase of pesticide for use in the farm : The results on component I show that 34.2 per cent indicated that they often buy pesticides from AKADEP while 32.8 per cent always buy from AKADEP. With regards to component Ib, 32.0 per cent of the respondents buy less pesticides from the open market and 25.4 per cent often buy from open market. Component Ic, indicates the patronage of Agro-chemical shop by 34.4 per cent and 18.4 per cent of the respondents who obtain and mostly use Agro- Chemical shop respectively as their sources of pesticides in their farms. In component Id few respondents considered friends and neighbours as a source of pesticides to farmers. The highest number of respondents, 55.1 per cent indicated that they never considered the source followed by 16.2 per cent who got information in pesticide

from friends and neighbours. It is considered that some of these farmers are not well acquainted with pesticide rules and regulations that could be beneficial to those who act as a source. Based on the results shown on component I, it can be deduced that many farmers patronized AKADEP as a major source of pesticides for their farms. This could be due to easy access through extension agents.

Component II: Extent of reliance on the sources of correct use of pesticides : The level of reliability on one's self/family members, friend's/family members and chemical applicators/technicians by farmers to ensure proper pesticides usage were assessed as shown on Table 2 component II. The result in component IIa shows that 32.4 per cent mostly rely on one's self/family members, followed by 27.2 per cent that often rely on one's self/family members respectively. Similarly, 28.7 per cent often rely on chemical applicators/technicians. This implies that the farmers have more confidence in those who have basic knowledge on pesticides than those who have not. They are ready to get instructions from the chemical applicators/technicians that are highly reliable to ensure proper pesticide usage.

Component III: Sources of information on correct use of pesticides : From the result in component IIIa (Table 2), the highest respondents of 65.6 per cent always got information on correct use of pesticides from labels and instruction manual. Component IIIb shows that 28.3 per cent of the respondents mostly access information from handbills/flyers. Component IIIc, indicate that 25.7 per cent never read newspapers/magazines/catalogues for information on correct use of pesticides. In component III d, the data reveals that the respondents got less information from agricultural seminars due to unawareness of such seminars or inability to pay for the cost of the seminars. In the analysis in component IIIe, 40.1 per cent of the respondents often got information from discussion with colleagues/friends. According to *Robinson et al (2007)*, focus Group Discussions (FGD) reveals that the large number of information sources both formal and informal are available from which farmers can obtain advice on pests and pest management.

In component III f, 27.9 per cent of the respondents often got information from Radio/Television. The result in component III g show that 23.2 per cent of the

Table 2. Response analysis on the sources of pesticide to farmers in their farms (N = 272)

Component	Never	Less	Often	More	Most	Always
Source of purchase of pesticides for use in the farm						
AKADEP	77	4.4	34.2	6.6	14.3	32.8
Open market	14.3	32.0	24.4	4.4	7.0	11.4
Agro/chemical shops	0.4	17.6	32.4	18.0	18.4	13.2
Friends/neighbours	55.1	16.2	15.8	9.6	1.1	2.2
Extent of reliance on the sources of correct use of pesticides						
One's self/family members	3.3	4.7	27.2	8.8	32.4	13.6
Friends/family members	35.2	23.5	20.2	13.6	4.4	0.0
Chemical application/technical	4.8	14.7	28.7	9.2	15.1	27.6
Sources of information on correct use of pesticides						
From labels and instruction manuals	9.0	1.1	2.6	10.7	11.0	65.5
Handbills/flyers	27.6	16.5	6.3	4.0	28.3	17.3
Newspaper/magazines/catalogues	25.7	5.5	14.0	25.4	17.6	11.8
Seminars	30.1	136.8	14.0	5.1	3.7	10.3
Discussion with colleagues/friends	1.5	9.9	40.1	26.1	11.4	11.0
Radio/Television	8.8	23.2	27.9	23.2	12.0	4.0
Agro-chemical offices/shops	16.2	8.8	23.2	22.4	14.7	14.7
Chemical applicators	20.2	12.9	25.0	22.8	8.5	10.7

Source: Field survey, 2014

farmers often got information from agro-chemical offices/shops while in component IIIh 25.0 per cent of the respondents often got information from chemical applicators. This means that, most of the farmers are educated and depend on labels and instruction manual as a good source of information on correct use of pesticides.

Pattern of pesticides awareness and utilization by farmers : The farmers use variety of pesticides in their farms depending on the types of crops to fight pests for bountiful harvest. The analysis below is presenting farmers pattern of pesticides awareness and utilization (Table 3). It is observed that 18.2 per cent in the "I don't know" column for Risane shows most farmers from the study areas are not aware of this pesticide and do not use it in their farms. This is simply because it is a herbicide mainly for destruction of weeds in rice farm. Other herbicides are Primextra 78.6 per cent, Primegram 75.0 per cent, Galex 73.9 per cent and Glamozone 64.7 per cent with the response of don't know because the farmers do not know their usefulness. Insecticide like Karate with the response of 57.8 per cent, Decis 12 EC with 64.3 per cent and Furadan 3G with 50.0 per cent are known to respondents as indicated in the "Yes" column. This is probably because of their usefulness in their farms. For instance, these insecticides

are used in killing of insects that attack crops such as vegetables, maize and cowpea in the farms. This suggests that many farmers from the study area are growers of these crops; therefore make good use of the insecticides. However, the respondents should not lose sight of the consequences of these insecticides to human health, food eco-system and the environment. There is therefore concern over the potentials with insidious effects of pesticides operating through the food chain (*Mills and Semlitsch, 2004*). Therefore, ecological consequences of insecticide use are of major concern. However, other aspects of modern agriculture often have a greater environmental impact consequently insecticides have lethal or sub-lethal impact on non-target organisms (*Devine and Furlong, 2007*). According to *Blaustein and Kiesecker (2002)*; *Devidson et al (2001)*; *Sparling et al (2001)* global declines in amphibian populations are strongly associated with agro-chemical use, but according to *Cohen, (2001)*, *Houlahan et. al. (2000)*; *Pounds et al (2006)*; *Relyea, (2005)* it seems unlikely that insecticides per se are a major contributory factor.

In Table 3, fungicide such as copper sulphate is used by 49.3 per cent of the respondents as reflected in the yes column. This means that the respondents embraced the fungicide because some of the

respondents are animal's production farmers. Copper sulphate is used as animal feed dietary supplement. For Benlate, 87.5 per cent of the respondents said they did not know as shown in the column. Again, this means that the respondents are unaware of Benlate existence and do not use it in their farms because it is relatively unimportant for their farms.

Table 3: Pattern of pesticide awareness and utilization by farmers (N = 272)

Types of pesticides	Don't know	No	Yes
Risane	81.2	15.1	3.7
Primextra	78.6	19.9	1.5
Primegram	75.0	16.9	8.1
Galex	73.9	15.8	10.3
Glamozone	64.7	15.8	3.7
Karate	30.9	17.3	51.8
Decis	24.3	11.4	64.3
Furandan 3a	32.4	17.6	50.0
Copper-sulphate	39.3	11.4	49.3
Benlate	87.5	12.1	0.4

Source: Field Survey, 2014

Knowledge pattern on banned pesticides: From the study, it is obvious that not all pesticides are recommended for use by farmers because some are banned by law or legislation of a nation. In Nigeria, there are similar pesticides prohibited by law from usage for farming activities. The data in Table 4 show the knowledge pattern of respondents on banned pesticides but still in circulation. In the "I don't know" column apart from DDT with 40.4 per cent of the respondents, the rest have more than 50.00 per cent meaning, the respondents do not know that these pesticides are banned from circulation by government or still in use by farmers. The "No" column has less than 30.0 per cent respondents an indication that they are still doubting the ban. As a result, farmers can still make use of them in their farms if they have access to these pesticides. Similarly, the "Yes" column, apart from DDT that has as high as 45.3 per cent, other pesticides have less than 30.0 per cent. This suggests that the respondents agree that they have knowledge of these banned pesticides from circulation by the government but still in use by some farmers.

However, as knowledgeable as some respondents are on banned pesticides, in circulation it is unbelievable that more than, 45.0 per cent are aware of the banned

pesticides but are still having access to them not minding its impact on man and environment. The use of broad spectrum insecticides viz: DDT, Gamma BHC and Dieldrin during campaign against the tse-tse fly in the South Africa Savanna as observed by *Divine and Furlong (2007)* have had pronounced effects on non-targeted organisms like birds, reptiles, small mammals, fish and insects. The circulation of these pesticides though banned from circulation may be attributed to inappropriate government mechanisms to enforce laws on banned pesticides from circulation. Because of lack of monitoring programme to police the use of pesticides, anyone can use anything with little fear of being caught and punished. Therefore, there is no way of checking that government pesticides legislation is being obeyed. This is illustrated well by the use of DDT.

Table 4. Knowledge pattern on banned pesticides still in circulation

Types of pesticides	Don't know	No	Yes
DDT	40.4	54.7	45.3
Aldrin	52.9	71.7	28.3
Chlodene	72.8	99.3	0.7
Lindane	52.2	76.8	23.2
Dieldrin	68.4	93.8	6.2
Parathion	68.4	88.3	11.7
Ethylene oxide	79.4	100.0	0.0
Heptachlor	77.9	100.0	0.0
Endrin	72.1	93.34	6.6
Mirex	80.5	99.6	0.4

DDT=Dichloro-Diphenyl Trichloto-ethane,

Source: Field Survey, 2014

Level of adherence to pesticide utilization : It is expected that farmers must adhere to instructions from pesticide experts during utilization in the farms and other usage because of its hazardous effects. This sub-section therefore assesses the respondents' level of adherence to pesticide instructions and advice from experts. The level of adherence to correct utilization of pesticides was considered dependent variable and the independent variables age X_1 , educational qualification X_2 , farming experience X_3 , dependency ratio X_4 , sex X_5 , knowledge level X_6 , information communication source X_7 , and constraints, X_8 were estimated through multiple regression analysis carried out using four functional forms that utilized Ordinary Least Square (OLS). These were: Linear, exponential, Double – Log and Semi Log

equations. The equation variables age, educational qualification, farming experience, dependency ratio, sex, knowledge level, information communication source and constraints and the result are presented in Table 5.

From the result in Table 5, linear equation was chosen as the lead equation. The choice of the equation is based on the coefficients of determination R^2 and F-statistics, which were relatively high. The number of significant variables was high, the *a priori* expectation of the signs and magnitude on variables. The R of 0.31 shows that 31 per cent of the independent variables explain the total variation in the dependent variable. F-statistics = 14.591. The F-statistics = 14.59; this shows that the model is acceptable at 5 per cent confidence level. The result shows that eight of the estimated Coefficients show significance at 5 per cent level. The Coefficients of age X_1 , educational qualification X_2 , dependency ratio X_4 information communication source X_7 , and constraint X_8 were significant at 5 per cent level but positively signed while farming experience X_3 , sex X_5 and knowledge level X_6 were also significant at 5 per cent level but negatively signed.

This is because adherence to correct pesticide

utilization requires maturity considering the hazardous effects which cannot be managed by age group below 10 years. The level of educational qualification is necessary as the farmers will be able to read and understand the instructions as contained in the pesticide manuals. Dependency ratio is positively significant because the higher the number of household size the greater the level of pesticide utilization. However, this may be constrained because of less labour with regards to active workforce. Information communication source and constraint are also positive because if information is not got from the right source, it will negatively affect the level of adherence to correct utilization of pesticides. Again, constrain such as low capital, adherence to correct utilization of pesticide where the farmers' capital is low, it difficult to purchase the recommended pesticides for crops and therefore affecting the level of adherence.

Nevertheless, farming experience, sex and knowledge level have negative significant relationship on the level of adherence to correct utilization of pesticides because it is not the number of years a farmer has in farming activities that could ensure adherence to correct

Table 5. Result of multiple regression analysis

Functional forms	Constant terms	X_1	X_2	X_3	X_4	X_5	X_6	X_7	X_8
Linear	16.855	0.224**	0.264**	-0.2960**	0.108**	0.026**	-0.039**	0.437**	0.009**
t-value	7.562	3.153	3.941	-4.333	1.969	-0.469	-0.679	7.069	0.150
Std error	2.232	0.031	0.055	0.039	0.928	0.492	0.115	0.033	0.037
Exponential	2.888	0.227**	0.285	-0.309	0.097	-0.038	-0.033	0.419	0.033
t-value	34.894	3.193	4.234	-4.514	1.760	0.684	-0.571	6.751	0.586
Std error	0.083	0.001	0.002	0.001	0.034	0.018	0.004	0.001	0.001
Double log	1.954	0.199	0.286	-0.218	0.076	-0.031	0.026	0.367	0.018
t-value	7.537	2.717**	4.101	-3.130	1.329	0.552	0.438	5.752	0.311
Std error	0.259	0.047	0.020	0.021	0.036	0.019	0.066	0.045	0.052
Semi log	-7.473	0.198	0.268	-0.205	0.087	-0.020	-0.031	0.387	-0.008
t-value	-1.071	2.728	3.850	-2.962	1.531	0.357	0.537	6.088	0.141
Std error	6.979	1.261	0.528	0.553	0.961	0.500	1.781	1.202	1.401

X_1 =Age X_2 =Educational qualification, X_3 =Farming experience, X_4 =Dependency ratio, X_5 =Sex, X_6 =Knowledge level, X_7 =Information communication source, X_8 =Constraints, ** Significant at 5 per cent

Summary of the models

Linear	Exponential	Double-log	Secondary
**	**	**	**
F-ratio- 14.591	F-ratio- 14.252	F-ratio- 12.522	F-ratio- 12.999
R^1 -0.554	R^1 -0.550	R^1 -0.525	R^1 -0.523
R^2 -0.307	R^2 -0.302	R^2 -0.276	R^2 -0.283
SE-3.70741	SE-3.70741	SE-0.14007	SE-3.77118

utilization of pesticides but ability to understand the rules and regulations of the pesticide utilization as contained in the pesticides hand bills and manuals. In addition, there is no gender difference in the level of adherence to correct utilization of pesticide as male and female can handle the work perfectly. Again it is not until a farmer is more knowledgeable in farming business that could guarantee a high level of adherence to correct utilization of pesticide, after all, a farmer with little knowledge in the business can do the same job provided he understands the rudiments of pesticides utilization.

CONCLUSION

From the result and discussion, the following conclusion is drawn. From the socio-economics characteristics, it can be concluded that majority of the farmers are in middle age group, men outnumbered women and married men and women constitute the bulk of farmers in the study area. Many of them have basic educational qualification from primary to tertiary and few without formal education. The monthly income of the majority of the farmers are low which has posed a serious problem in acquiring the recommended pesticide to combat pests in their farms. The farmers have a wide range of sources they can obtain information on pesticides that could be beneficial to them during pre and post pesticide application. A majority of the farmers

are unaware of the recommended pesticide and still patronize the banned ones. During pesticide application, some farmers conform to rules and regulations guiding the exercise while some do not adhere, due to lack of knowledge and above all there is need to train the farmers in different areas of pesticide administration, since most of them cannot do it due to some constraints.

Recommendations : Based on the findings of this research, the following recommendations are made:

1. Information on banned pesticides must be made available to farmers through public enlightenment campaign, seminars, and group discussion by extension officers.
2. Government through respective agencies for strict compliance must ensure that banned pesticides are completely out of reach by farmers and other users in the society, since there is evidence that some are still in circulation.
3. Government should subsidize the cost of recommended pesticides due to income of the majority of the farmers coupled with large household size and high dependency ratio that could not allow them to save enough money for it.
4. Farmers should be given intensive training on pesticide application as many of them lack knowledge on the recommended pesticide.

REFERENCES

- Anyim, A. (2003). Effect of planting dates on the yield and control of major insect pest of soybeans. (*Glycine max* (L) Merrill, in South – eastern Nigeria, *Int. J. Agric and Rural Dev.* **3**: 121-126.
- Anonymous (1979). Cocoa Development Programme in Nigeria, 7th International Cocoa Research Conference. Horford: Stephen Austin and Sons Ltd. pp. 649 – 653.
- Atu, U. G. (1990). Pesticide usage and Imo State Farmers in 1983 and 1988. *Nigeria Journal of Plant Protection*, 13:66-71.
- Blaustein, A. R. and Kiesecker, J. M. (2002). Complexity in conservation; lessons from the global decline of amphibian populations". *Ecology Letters* **5** (4): 597-608.
- Davidson, C; Shaffer, H. B and Jennings, M. R. (2001). Declines of the California redlegged frog: Climate UV-B, habitat and pesticides hypotheses. *Ecological Applications* **11** (2): 464-479.
- Devine, J. G. and Furlong J. M. (2007). Insecticide use: contexts and ecological consequences. *Journal of the Agriculture Food and Human Values.* **24** (3): 281-306.
- Fajewonyomi, B. A. (1995). Knowledge attitude and practices (KAP) of farmers regarding the use of pesticides: A Case study of a Cocoa farming Community in South-Western Nigeria. *Ife Journal of Agriculture*, **16** & **17**:98-198.
- Ibitayo, O. O. (2007). Egyptian Farmers Attitudes and Behaviour Regarding Agricultural Pesticides: Implications for Pesticide. Risk Communication. Texas Southern University, U.S.A.
- Lawal, B. O. Torimiro, D. O., Banjo, A. D. and Joda, A. O. (2005). Operational Habits and Health Hazard Associated with Pesticide usage by Cocoa Farmers in Nigeria, Lesson for Extension Work. Institute of Agricultural Research and Training, Moor Plantation, Ibadan, Nigeria. Pp. 234-250.

- Lodeman, D. (1988). Pesticide Users Health and Safety Hand Book. Gower Publishing Company Limited, England pp. 19-63.
- Mills, N. E. and Semlitsch, R. D. (2004). Competition and predation, the indirect effects of insecticide on Southern leopard frogs. *Ecological Applications* **14** (4): 1041-1054.
- National Agricultural Extension and Research Liaison Services (NARLS) (1995). Crop Protection Technology for Nigerian Farmers. Extension Bulletin No. 71 pp. 4-28.
- Okopido, I. T. (2002). Environmental Pollution. An Emerging Health Hazard. The Nigerian Scenario Lecture. Port Harcourt, Nigeria. Pp. 1-20.
- Pinstrop-Anderson, P. (1985). Food Policy and Human Nutrition, International Food Policy Research Institute (IFPRI), Washington, D.C.
- Robinson, J. Z; Das. R. S. and Chancellor, B. C. (2007). Motivations behind farmers' pesticide use in Bangladesh rice farming. *Journal of Agriculture Food and Human values*, 24(3): 323-332.
- Sparling, D. W. S., Ellers, G. M. F. and Connell, L. L. M. (2001). Pesticides and amphibian population declines in California, U. S. A. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*. **20** (7); 1591-1595.
- Udoh, A. J. and Umoh, E. (2011). "Fertilizer use and Measures for sustainable consumption by peasant farmers: Food Security approach in rural Nigeria. *ICRISAT Journal*. **9**: 1-8.
- Udoh, A. J. (1999). Socioeconomic and infrastructural indices for poverty alleviation in Akwa Ibom State, South-South J. Culture Development. 110-125.
- Udoh, A. J. (1998). Safety Research study in Nigeria. Farm Household Hazards. *The Journal of Pesticides Trust*, **40**: 5-8.
- Youdeowei, A. (1989). Major Arthropods of Food and Industrial Crops of African and their Economic Importance. In Yanick and Heren eds. Biological Control. A Sustainable Solution, pp. 31-32.
- Yudelman, M. R. and Nygaard, D. (1998). Pest-Management and Food Production. Food Agriculture and Environment Discussion Paper 25, IFPRI, Washington.

